

POLITICS and INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS, Part II / Part IIB, 2019-20

POL12: The Politics of the Middle East

Course Organiser

Glen Rangwala (*gr10009@cam.ac.uk*)
Department of Politics & International Studies
7 West Road

Lecturers and Supervisors

Philipp Hirsch (<i>pamh2@cam.ac.uk</i>)	Hakan Sandal (<i>hhs26@cam.ac.uk</i>)
Dr José Ciro Martínez (<i>jcm88@cam.ac.uk</i>)	Dr Faiz Sheikh (<i>fs489@cam.ac.uk</i>)
Engy Moussa (<i>easmm2@cam.ac.uk</i>)	Karim El Taki (<i>kke21@cam.ac.uk</i>)
Dr Glen Rangwala (<i>gr10009@cam.ac.uk</i>)	Dr Ayşe Zarakol (<i>az319@cam.ac.uk</i>)

I. Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.2 POL12 lecture list in brief.....	4
2. The Politics of the Middle East	5
3. The Politics of Islamism	19
4. International politics and conflict	26
5. The mini-subjects	36
A. Turkey: A ‘model’ or an exception?.....	36
B. Yemen: crisis turns to conflict.....	40
C. Politics of/and the everyday	42
6. Examination	43
7. Other approaches to understanding Middle Eastern politics	52
7.1 Websites.....	52
7.2 Literary fiction (either in English translation or originally in English).....	53
7.3 Films	54

This version was last updated on 21st February 2020. The latest updates are to the Yemen reading list, the Gulf reading list, and to the mock exam paper.

1. Introduction

This paper on the politics and international relations of the modern Middle East is built around three thematic sections. The first section is on the nature and causes of political change in the region, exploring themes such as state formation, political economy, identity and democratisation. The second section focuses on the multiple forms of Islamism that occur within the Middle East, but also engage populations outside of the region. The third section is on security and international relations, developing an approach to understanding the Arab-Israeli conflict, regional rivalry in the Gulf region, and the force and limits of external influence in the region. Students taking the paper through the regular supervision route should do 5 supervisions across these sections.

There are also three separate mini-subjects within the paper. These are on Turkish politics and foreign policy since 2002; on Yemeni politics in and around the ongoing conflict; and on the politics of the 'everyday' in the Middle East, exploring how citizens in the Middle East navigate the structures of repressive governments. These three mini-subjects are taught in Lent term, either by lectures or by a seminar, followed in each case by a supervision. Students are encouraged to choose *one* of these on which to have a supervision, though they may attend as many of the lectures/seminars as they choose.

It is important to attend the introductory lecture on Thursday 10th October, 4pm, in week 1 in the Alison Richard Building room S1, as structures for potential supervision arrangements during the year will be presented at that lecture. Any specific preferences that you have will be taken in to account when arranging supervisors and supervision topics. Typically, though, students will have six supervisions, three in each term, comprising:

- 1 supervision on the historical emergence of modern politics in the region;
- 2 supervisions on *one of* comparative politics, Islamism *or* international politics; and one *each* on the others, making 4 supervisions in total;
- 1 supervision on the 'mini-subject' (Turkey, Yemen, the everyday).

The exam paper is undivided, and a mock exam paper is contained in the section 6 of this paper guide. There will be one questions on each of the three mini-subjects.

To benefit from this paper, it is important to have a sense of the overall contours of the modern history of the region. Students who took the POL4 option on Saudi Arabia and Iran will already have this sense from studying these two crucial countries; that prior knowledge is presupposed on this paper, and it will not be repeated in this year's lectures. Nevertheless, it is well worth returning to one of the standard histories of the region at the start of the year to refamiliarise yourself with key events, historical processes and core terminology:

Roger Owen, *State, Power and Politics in the Making of the Modern Middle East* (London: Routledge, 3rd edition, 2004) **[OL]**

Betty S. Anderson, *A History of the Modern Middle East: Rulers, Rebels, and Rogues* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2016)

Beverley Milton-Edwards, *Contemporary Politics in the Middle East* (Cambridge: Polity Press, 4th edition, 2018)

William Cleveland and Martin Bunton, *A History of the Modern Middle East* (Westview Press, 6th edition, 2016)

Albert Hourani, *A History of the Arab Peoples* (London: Faber & Faber, 1991; updated edition, 2012)

Eugene Rogan, *The Arabs: A History* (London: Penguin, 3rd edition, 2018)

James Gelvin, *The Modern Middle East: A History* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 4th edition, 2015)

These seven texts are all quite different: Owen's straight historical account is only of the modern period and is fairly short, but has separate thematic accounts that develop the history. It is still useful, even though it is now rather dated. Cleveland takes in a longer sweep of history, and is more detailed, but excludes North Africa west of Egypt from its account; it's perhaps better to use as a reference text than a book to read through. Anderson also excludes North Africa, but it is a lively and readable text, although it doesn't engage with academic literature. Hourani is a celebrated classic, with the longer historical sweep, but only of the Arab world (so excluding Turkey, Iran and Israel), and is fairly brief on the post-1939 era; Rogan's is more up to date, and is focused more heavily on the 20th Century. The account from Milton-Edwards is that of an accessible textbook. Gelvin incorporates a social history within the political narrative to a considerably greater degree than the others. It's generally better to flick through each, and purchase the one you prefer as it will come in useful throughout the year.

The reading lists that follow in this paper guide contain items that are marked [**OL**] to include works that can be found on-line (including through electronic journals or ebooks), and [**M**] to indicate that they are held as scanned articles on the Moodle system. If there are problems in connecting to the relevant Moodle site, then speak to the library. But if you find that specific pieces are not available electronically at the sites listed below, please do contact the lecturer: URLs change and pieces are taken down, and it's helpful to inform us if that happens. Many of the reading lists below include works that are often quite dated, and which provide judgements and prognoses that are no longer relevant. (Some of them, needless to say, you will rightly find to be deeply flawed in their analysis). They are on the list sometimes because they were influential texts that shaped how particular regions or themes were studied – and sometimes to give you something to react against. You should also make sure you keep up to speed with the contemporary politics of the region, not least because of the widespread sense (which may of course be inaccurate) that the Middle East is currently in a period of flux. Some on-line sources are recommended in section 8 of this course guide.

1.2 POL12 lecture list in brief

Introduction: Thursday 10th October, 4pm, ARB S1. Most of the lectures for this paper will be in the ARB, but in different rooms:

Michaelmas	Michaelmas/Lent	Lent	Michaelmas/Lent
Series 1: Politics ARB SG1	Series 2: Islamism ARB S1	Series 3: IR & conflict ARB SG1	Series X: the mini-subjects Rooms vary – see below
State-formation: Syria Wed 16 Oct, 10am	The concept of political Islam Tues 15 Oct, 4pm	(i) IR; (ii) AI conflict Wed 22 Jan, 10am	Political development of Turkey Tues 21 Jan, 4pm. ARB S1
Nationalism: Israel Wed 23 Oct, 10am	Contemporary forms Tues 22 Oct, 4pm	Israel-Palestine – land Wed 29 Jan, 10am	The AKP years Tues 28 Jan, 4pm. ARB S1
Identity: Jordan Wed 30 Oct, 10am	Islam & the state Tues 29 Oct, 4pm	Israel-Palestine – peace Wed 5 Feb, 10am	Erdoğanism and its discontents Tues 4 Feb, 4pm. ARB S1
Sect: Iraq Wed 6 Nov, 10am	Al-Afghani Tues 5 Nov, 4pm	Gulf politics Wed 12 Feb, 10am	Turkish foreign policy Tues 11 Feb, 4pm. ARB S1
Economic change: Egypt Wed 13 Nov, 10am	Al-Banna and the Ikhwan Tues 12 Nov, 4pm	Maghreb's international politics Wed 19 Feb, 10am	Yemen: crisis to conflict Thurs 13 Feb, 3pm. ARB SG2
Democratisation? I: Algeria Wed 20 Nov, 10am	Mawdudi and the Jamaat Tues 19 Nov, 4pm	Unity and division Wed 26 Feb, 10am	Politics of the everyday Thur 20 Feb, 3pm. ARB SG2
Democratisation? II: Kuwait Wed 27 Nov, 10am	Qutb and Jihad Tues 26 Nov, 4pm	External powers Wed 4 Mar, 10am	
Gender: Tunisia Wed 4 Dec, 10am	Some conclusions Tues 3 Dec, 4pm	Change Wed 11 Mar, 10am	

You may also be interested in the lectures by Burcu Ozelik on Kurdish politics in POL16 scheduled for 12, 19 and 26 November: see that course guide for further information. Details of revision teaching in Easter term will be circulated nearer to then.

2. The Politics of the Middle East

Lectures (Glen Rangwala): Michaelmas weeks 1-8, Wednesdays @ 10am. SG1

This series of lectures is intended to cover three purposes. First, they are to provide an introduction to the modern history of the region, particularly in lectures 1-3. Secondly, they provide a series of themes or lenses through which the politics of the region have been interpreted. These are explored through a series of tensions: between ideology and identity, economic development and patrimonialism, democratisation and liberalisation, and gender and claims on citizenship. The lectures present arguments (captured in the subtitles to the lectures), which students are welcome to interrogate, criticise or ignore in engaging themselves with the topic. Thirdly, they direct attention to the specific constellation of politics in particular countries or regions. With each theme, the lecture draws heavily upon the experience of one place, both in order to illustrate general processes at work, and to draw attention to the contingencies and exceptionalities of those places.

The reading list for each lecture comes in two parts. There are general texts that cover the politics of a number of countries or regions of the Middle East. Then there is a secondary reading list focusing on the politics of one place, to provide a detailed example. This place-specific reading list is not exclusively on the topic of the lecture; it will also intersect with themes from other lectures. So when studying for a particular topic, it is useful to draw in detail on examples from particular countries or regions, but do not feel that you need to read up on the example that goes with the corresponding lecture. You could equally choose another place or places, and draw upon the reading list that comes with a separate lecture in this or another section of the paper (or, for Saudi Arabia and Iran, the separate reading lists in last year's POL4 paper guide).

2.1 The formation of states, or How Middle Eastern states are continually being reinvented

The first four texts set up the context for the formation of modern states in the Middle East, Hourani in terms of intellectual context and Fromkin, Provenance and Rogan in military/political context. Perhaps the most useful is Provenance, especially chapter 3, on the takeover by colonial powers and their attempts to suppress rebellion. The central theme in the remaining works is the character of the Middle Eastern state. Anderson provides an introduction to the field. Tripp in Hakimian/Moshaver presents three themes through which to understand that character, and Owen discusses change. Ayubi's broad text is worth reading in its entirety, but those pressed for time should concentrate on Chapters 1, 3, 8 and 12. Beblawi (listed with lecture 2.5) draws out an influential characterisation of many Middle Eastern states. Gongora, Heydemann, Cronin (see the introduction and chapter 1) and Gaub (particularly chapter 2) look to the centrality of the institutions of violence to the Middle Eastern state, though in different ways. Saouli usefully shifts the focus to the international position of Middle Eastern states: chapters 2 and 6 give you the core argument. Fortna's short article provides a useful way of thinking about the different trajectories of state-formation after the end of the Ottoman Empire. Gelvin, Neep and Mufti provide complementary accounts of state formation in Syria, with Gelvin's approach more centred on beliefs, symbols and social practices in the first Arab republic (1920), Neep's more on coercive institutions under the French mandate (1920-1946; see particularly chapter 2), and Mufti (especially chapter 3 and 5) taking the account through to the 1950s. Thompson's book looks at the creation of citizenship through understanding the state's approach to gender; chapter 5 is perhaps most

relevant here. Provence's *Great Syrian Revolt* provides the historical narrative if the other texts are found wanting in that respect.

Albert Hourani, *Arabic Thought in the Liberal Age, 1798-1939* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, new edition, 1983 [1962]), particularly the epilogue. **[OL]**

David Fromkin, *A Peace to End all Peace: Creating the Modern Middle East 1914-1922* (London: André Deutsch Ltd, new edition, 2009 [1989]), especially Part XII.

* Michael Provence, *The Last Ottoman Generation and the Making of the Modern Middle East* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017), especially chapter 3.

Eugene Rogan, *The Fall of the Ottomans: the Great War in the Middle East, 1914-1920* (London: Allen Lane, 2015), particularly chapter 13.

* Hassan Hakimian and Ziba Moshaver (eds.), *The State and Global Change: The Political Economy of Transition in the Middle East and North Africa* (Richmond: Curzon, 2001) – see especially the chapters by Charles Tripp ('States, elites and the "management of change"') **[M]** and Roger Owen ('The Middle Eastern state: repositioning not retreat?')

* Nazih N. Ayubi, *Over-stating the Arab State* (London: I.B. Tauris, 1995/2006), chapter 3 **[OL]**

* Lisa Anderson, 'The State in the Middle East and North Africa', *Comparative Politics*, vol. 20, No. 1 (October 1987), pp.1-18 **[OL]**

Adham Saouli, *The Arab State: Dilemmas of Late Formation* (London: Routledge, 2012), chapters 2 & 6

Ben Fortna, 'Sovereignty in the Ottoman Empire and After', in Sally Cummings and Ray Hinnebusch, eds., *Sovereignty after Empire: Comparing the Middle East and Central Asia* (Edinburgh University Press, 2012), pp.91-103 **[OL]**

Stephanie Cronin, *Armies and State-building in the Modern Middle East: Politics, Nationalism and Military Reform* (London: IB Tauris, 2014), introduction & chapter 1

Florence Gaub, *Guardians of the Arab State: When Militaries Intervene in Politics, from Iraq to Mauritania* (London: Hurst, 2017), chapter 2

Thierry Gongora, 'War making and state power in the contemporary Middle East', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 29 (1997), pp.323-340 **[OL]**

Steven Heydemann, ed., *War, Institutions, and Social Change in the Middle East* (Los Angeles: University of California Press, 2000) **[OL]** – especially chapters 1 (by Heydemann) and 11 (by Owen)

Case study – state-formation in Syria

* James Gelvin, *Divided Loyalties: Nationalism and Mass Politics in Syria at the Close of Empire* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999) (conclusions on **[M]**)

* Daniel Neep, *Occupying Syria under the French Mandate: Insurgency, Space and State Formation* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012), chapter 2 **[OL]**

Elizabeth Thompson, *Colonial Citizens: Republican rights, Paternal Privilege, and Gender in French Syria and Lebanon* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2000), chapter 5

Michael Provence, *The Great Syrian Revolt and the Rise of Arab Nationalism* (Austin: University of Texas Press, 2005)

Malik Mufti, *Sovereign Creations: Pan-Arabism and Political Order in Syria and Iraq* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1996) (conclusions on **[M]**)

2.2 The formation of nations, or Misunderstanding the Middle East through the category of nationalism

The first major analyses of the modern politics of the Middle East were on the development of the idea of a pan-Arab nation, portrayed as emerging out of the Ottoman Empire and in tense relations with Western imperial powers. This is a literature that continues to shape studies of the Middle East. Tibi's volume to a large extent reflects this tradition of analysis, in Parts II-IV of the book; Choueiri (especially chapter 3 and 6) is an alternative. The critique is presented by the articles in Gershoni/Jankowski volume (essays 1,4,5,8 and 12 especially), and also Bromley (chapter 3). A second literature then traces the decline of Arab nationalism after 1967; it is represented here by Ajami and Salem. The critique is from Gelvin.

The case study, seemingly anachronistically, is from Israel. Zionism and pan-Arabism are products of the late nineteenth century, and the lecture will compare their trajectories. Smith's short article, as a framing piece, explores the extent to which Zionism is a form of nationalism. Out of the reading listed, Halpern and Avineri represent the orthodox account of the development of Zionism, whilst Sternhall and Kimmerling (especially chapters 3 and 7) provide the critical account. Pappé (see especially chapters 1 and 11) traces the rise of 'post-Zionism' in the 1990s, and its rapid decline from 2000 to be replaced with what he calls 'neo-Zionism'. Liebman/Don-Yehiya trace the multiple forms of Zionism. Shohat shows, critically, how a unified category of the 'Mizrahi' was created over time out of diverse populations.

* Bassam Tibi, *Arab Nationalism: Between Islam and the Nation-State* (London: Macmillan, 3rd edition, 1997 [1981]), Parts II-IV. **[OL]**

Youssef Choueiri, *Arab Nationalism: a History* (Oxford: Blackwell, 2000), chapters 3 & 6

* James Gelvin, 'Modernity and its discontents: on the durability of nationalism in the Arab Middle East', *Nations and Nationalism*, vol. 5/1 (1999), pp.71-89 **[OL]**

Israel Gershoni and James Jankowski, ed., *Rethinking Nationalism in the Arab Middle East* (Columbia University Press, New York, 1997) (chapter 1 on **[M]**)

Simon Bromley, *Rethinking Middle East Politics* (Austin: University of Texas Press, 1994) (chapter 3 on **[M]**)

Fouad Ajami, *The Arab Predicament: Arab Political Thought and Practice since 1967* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 1992), especially part III.

Paul Salem, *Bitter Legacy: Ideology and Politics in the Arab world* (Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 1994)

R. Stephen Humphreys, *Between Memory and Desire* (University of California Press, revd ed, 2005), chapter 3: 'The strange career of pan-Arabism'

Case study – nationalism in Israel

Anthony Smith, 'Zionism and diaspora nationalism', *Israel Affairs*, vol. 2/2 (1995), pp.1-19 [OL]

* Ilan Pappé, *The Idea of Israel: A History of Power and Knowledge* (London: Verso, 2014) (chapter 1 on [M])

* Baruch Kimmerling, *The Invention and Decline of Israeliness: State, Society, and the Military* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001) (chapter 7 on [M])

* Ella Shohat, 'The invention of the Mizrahim' [1999], in *On the Arab-Jew, Palestine and Other Displacements: Selected Writings* (London: Pluto Press, 2017), pp.102-21.

Ben Halpern, *The Idea of the Jewish State* (Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press, 1969)

Shlomo Avineri, *The Making of Modern Zionism: The Intellectual Origins of the Jewish State* (New York: Basic Books, 1981)

Zeev Sternhell, *The Founding Myths of Israel: Nationalism, Socialism, and the Making of the Jewish State* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1998)

Charles S. Liebman and Eliezer Don-Yehiya, *Civil Religion in Israel: Traditional Judaism and Political Culture in the Jewish State* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1983)

2.3 The politics of identity, or Why identity crops up so often in seeking political legitimacy

The main topic of the lecture is the multiple identities that animate political argument and contestation in the Middle East. The introduction and the article by Tibi in the Khoury/Kostiner volume, and chapter 1 of the Christie/Masad volume provide a way of thinking about identity politics in the region. Alongside Arabism (lecture 2.2), political identity is most frequently framed in the literature in religious terms. On Islam in politics, probably the best place to begin is the Eickelman/Piscatori volume, which covers the range of ways in which religion comes in to politics, not specific to 'political Islam' or the Middle East. Ayubi, though dated, is still a good text that works through the beliefs and movements in a systematic way. This can be complemented with Ismail, which explores the different types of Islamism principally in Egypt. Zubaida links Islam back to nationalism. Bayat's book (esp chapter 10) provides an alternative frame of reference: by looking at 'everyday cosmopolitanism' in the Middle East, it challenges the literature which focuses on the political significance of particularistic identity claims.

Jordan provides the case study, due to the complex interlinkage of identity claims at work in this country. Robins provides the general history. It's useful to have a good sense of the colonial heritage: see especially Massad's chapter 2 and, in a different register, Alon. Anderson and Köprülü explore the complexity of national identity. The main works in exploring the particular position of religious movements in politics are Wiktorowicz (especially chapter 3) and the Moaddel article.

Philip Khoury and Joseph Kostiner, ed., *Tribes and State Formation in the Middle East* (London: IB Tauris, 1992) [OL], introduction and article by Tibi (pp.127-152)

Kenneth Christie and Mohammad Masad, eds, *State Formation and Identity in the Middle East and North Africa* (New York: Palgrave, 2013), chapter 1 on **[M]**

* Asef Bayat, *Life as Politics: How Ordinary People Change the Middle East* (Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, 2010) **[OL]**, chapter 10

Nazih N. Ayubi, *Political Islam: Religion and Politics in the Arab World* (London: Routledge, 1991).

* Dale F. Eickelman and James Piscatori, *Muslim Politics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1996), especially chapter 3.

Salwa Ismail, *Rethinking Islamist Politics: Culture, the State and Islamism* (London: IB Tauris, 2003). **[OL]**, chapter 3.

Sami Zubaida, 'Islam and nationalism: continuities and contradictions', *Nations and Nationalism*, vol. 10/4 (2004), pp.407–20 **[OL]**

Peter Mandaville, *Transnational Muslim Politics: Reimagining the Umma* (London: Routledge, 2001), especially chapters 4 and 5

Case study – religion, nation and tribe in Jordan

Philip Robins, *A History of Jordan* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004)

Quintan Wiktorowicz, *The Management of Islamic Activism: Salafis, the Muslim Brotherhood and State Power in Jordan* (New York: SUNY Press, 2001), chapter 3 on **[M]**

Mansoor Moaddel, 'Religion and the state: the singularity of the Jordanian religious experience', *International Journal of Politics, Culture and Society*, vol. 15/4 (2002), pp.527-568 **[OL]**

Joseph Massad, *Colonial Effects: The Making of National Identity in Jordan* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2001)

Yoav Alon, *The Making of Jordan: Tribes, Colonialism and the Modern State* (London: IB Tauris, 2007)

Betty S. Anderson, *Nationalist Voices in Jordan: The Street and the State* (Austin: Texas University Press, 2005)

Nur Köprülü, 'The interplay of Palestinian and Jordanian identities in re/making the state and nation formation in the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan' in Kenneth Christie and Mohammad Masad, eds, *State Formation and Identity in the Middle East and North Africa* (New York: Palgrave, 2013).

2.4 The politics of sect, or How claims to sectarian loyalties are losing their credibility

Since the invasion of Iraq in 2003, explanations for prolonged violence in the Middle East have come to centre on the rivalry that is purported to exist between those of different sectarian affiliations. These explanations are presented at both the region-wide level, such as in accounts of a "Shi'a crescent", or at the sub-national level, such as in analysis of Sunni vs Shi'a in Iraq, or Alawite vs Sunni in Syria, or Sunni vs Zaydi Shi'a in Yemen. While it is certainly worth critically interrogating essentialist claims of sectarian conflict, it is also necessary to take on board how many of the actors

themselves have organised politically into forms that are bound specifically to one sect, and use a language of avowal and denigration that bolster a sense of sectarian identity.

The reading listed below, while sometimes about a particular country, makes general arguments about the causes and character of sectarianism in the Middle East. It's useful first to think about how sectarianism is differently charted and explained within the wider politics of the Middle East: for this, a series of short articles or chapters have been recommended due to their different approaches. Makdisi, with Lebanon as his case study, links sectarianism to the trajectory of a particular form of nationalism (for greater detail, see the author's book *The Culture of Sectarianism*, 2000 – especially the epilogue). To explain the rise of sectarianism, Nasr (see chapter 6) focuses on renewed political agency from Shia movements, while Matthiesen (especially chapter 2) concentrates on the push from Sunni political authorities. Salloukh and Norton both connect sectarianism to geopolitical rivalry, but in subtly different ways. Byman provides a broad regional survey. Zelin and Smyth provide an account of the terms used to denigrate opponents in sectarian terms, but don't contextualise it in the broader vocabulary of struggle. Hashemi/Postel (pp.1-14) by contrast look to the limits of sectarianism as a political explanation.

The more developed pieces are those on Iraq. Al-Ali provides a generally useful background text. Haddad explores when and how this sense of political Shi'ism became incorporated into Iraqi popular action (chapter 6 is crucial for the argument, with the consequences demonstrated in chapter 7). The different perspectives on the nature of sectarianism in Iraq are brought out by the articles in the collection edited by Davis in the *International Journal of Contemporary Iraqi Studies*: see in particular those by Rizk Khoury, Ismael & Ismael, and Yousif. Zubaida, briefly but usefully, sets this discussion within the wider scope of Iraqi history. Dodge highlight the scale of contemporary problems. The pieces by Hasan and the long (and well-researched) piece by Taub are commentary on current events, and should be supplemented by keeping an eye on the news.

Ussama Makdisi, 'The modernity of sectarianism in Lebanon', *Middle East Report*, 200 (1996), at: <http://www.merip.org/mer/mer200/modernity-sectarianism-lebanon>

Vali Nasr, *The Shia Revival: How Conflicts within Islam will Shape its Future* (New York: W.W. Norton & co., 2006), chapter 6.

Bassel F. Salloukh, 'Sectarianism and the search for new political orders in the Arab World', *Middle East Institute*, web article (July 2015), at: <http://www.mei.edu/content/map/sectarianism-and-search-new-political-orders-arab-world>

Toby Matthiesen, *Sectarian Gulf: Bahrain, Saudi Arabia, and the Arab Spring that Wasn't* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2013), chapter 2.

Augustus Richard Norton, 'The geopolitics of the Sunni-Shi'i rift' in Elizabeth Monier, ed., *Regional Insecurity After the Arab Uprisings: Narratives of Security and Threat* (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2015)

Daniel Byman, 'Sectarianism afflicts the new Middle East', *Survival*, vol. 56/1 (2014), pp.79-100 **[OL]**

* Aaron Zelin and Phillip Smyth, 'The vocabulary of sectarianism', *Foreign Policy* magazine blog (29 January 2014) [OL], at:
http://mideastafrica.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2014/01/29/the_vocabulary_of_sectarianism

Nader Hashemi and Danny Postel, 'The sectarianization thesis', in Hashemi/Postel, eds.,
Sectarianization: Mapping the New Politics of the Middle East (London: Hurst, 2017), pp.1-22.

Case study – Iraq, the rise, and maybe the decline, of sectarianism

Zaid al-Ali, *The Struggle for Iraq's Future: How Corruption, Incompetence and Sectarianism have Undermined Democracy* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2014).

* Fanar Haddad, *Sectarianism in Iraq: Antagonistic Visions of Unity* (London: Hurst & Co., 2011), chapters 6-7.

Eric Davis, ed., 'The question of sectarian identities in Iraq', special edition of the *International Journal of Contemporary Iraqi Studies*, vol 4/3 (2010), via:
<http://fas-polisci.rutgers.edu/davis/ARTICLES/IJCISsectarianIdentities.611.pdf>

* Sami Zubaida, 'The fragments imagine the nation: the case of Iraq', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 34 (2002), pp.205-15 [OL]

* Toby Dodge, 'Iraq: A Year of Living Dangerously', *Survival*, vol.60/5 (2018), pp.41-48 [OL]

Harith Hasan, 'Hostage to all?', Carnegie Middle East Center briefing (13 November 2018), at:
<http://carnegie-mec.org/diwan/77699?lang=en>

Ben Taub, 'Iraq's post-ISIS campaign of revenge', *New Yorker*, 24-31 December 2018, at
<https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2018/12/24/iraqs-post-isis-campaign-of-revenge>

2.5 The politics of economic change, or Why everyone talks of economic liberalisation but few practice it

The two major interlocking approaches to the study of economic change in the Middle East are on the 'rentier' character that is imputed to many Middle Eastern states; and on the process and problems of economic liberalisation; and They are brought together helpfully in two volumes that provide general overviews: the Henry/Springborg and the Cammett et al. The second of those is more advanced, although there are different focuses to the two texts, and so both are worth dipping into as need be, as is the Owen/Pamuk. The literature on rentierism begins with Beblawi's classic article, and the literature on the politics of Saudi Arabia in POL4 expands upon these themes, often critically (Okruhik and Chaudhry are listed here as well, for reminders). Bellin provides a further extension of the argument through the case of Tunisia. Mitchell works best as a critique of many of the assumptions behind this literature. The interaction of oil wealth with international politics is covered in lecture 4.4, and it may be useful to make connections between these topics.

On economic liberalisation, Heydemann's introduction to his edited collection gives an advanced account of the interaction of politics and economic decision-making, with the articles spanning across six Middle Eastern countries. The essays in Barkey usefully complement this text (although some have dated poorly); do also look at chapters 6 and 13 of the Cammett et al. The case for this

lecture is Egypt, which is the subject of a number of the most influential texts that look at the politics of economic liberalisation. Although these texts are dated, they are worth revisiting. Kienle (the final chapter summarises the argument) draws out well how authoritarian government serves the process of economic reform, and in so doing works as a critique of the supposed relationship between political and economic liberalisation. Mitchell (especially chapter 9) looks at the costs and conceits of the project of 'development' that Egypt has undergone.

These 'classics' should be read in conjunction with the more recent literature on economic governance and its opponents in Egypt. Abdelrahman brings together well economic discontent and political protest; Marfleet gives an updated version of a similar argument. Marshall looks at the significance of economic interests for the Egyptian military; Roll and Springborg provides political and historical context to this theme. Joya and Stacher provide interesting different critical accounts of the level of control exercised by the Egyptian government over domestic 'reforms'.

Clement Henry and Robert Springborg, *Globalization and the Politics of Development in the Middle East*, 2nd edition (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010) **[OL]**

Melani Cammett, Ishac Diwan, Alan Richards and John Waterbury, *A Political Economy of the Middle East*, 4th edition (Boulder: Westview Press, 2008/ revd 2015) – earlier editions, which are perhaps more widely available in Cambridge libraries, were by Richards & Waterbury.

Roger Owen and Sevket Pamuk, *A History of Middle East Economies in the Twentieth Century* (London: IB Tauris, 1998).

* Hazem Beblawi, 'The rentier state in the Arab world', in Giacomo Luciani, ed., *The Arab State* (Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1990) **[M]**, or alternatively: Hazem Beblawi and Giacomo Luciani, eds, *The Rentier State* (London: Croom Helm, 1987)

* Gwenn Okruhlik, 'Rentier wealth, unruly law, and the rise of opposition: the political economy of oil states', *Comparative Politics*, 31(3), 1999, 295–315. **[OL]**

Kiren Aziz Chaudhry, 'Economic liberalization and the lineages of the rentier state', *Comparative Politics*, 27(1), 1994, pp.1–25. **[OL]**

Timothy Mitchell, *Carbon Democracy: Political Power in the Age of Oil* (London: Verso, 2011), chapters 6 and 8.

Eva Rana Bellin, *Stalled Democracy: Capital, Labour, and the Paradox of State-Sponsored Development* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2002), or her 'The politics of profit in Tunisia: utility of the rentier paradigm?', *World Development*, vol. 22/3 (March 1994), pp.427-436 **[OL]**

* Steven Heydemann, eds., *Networks of Privilege: the Politics of Economic Reform in the Middle East* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004)

Henri Barkey, ed., *The Politics of Economic Reform in the Middle East* (New York: St Martin's, 1992).

M. Riad El-Ghonemy, *Affluence and Poverty in the Middle East* (London: Routledge, 1998).

Charles Tripp, "An 'Islamic economics'? Problems in the imagined reappropriation of economic life", in Kathryn Dean, ed., *Politics and the Ends of Identity* (London: Ashgate, 1997), pp.155–76.

Case study – Egypt

* Eberhard Kienle, *A Grand Delusion: Democracy and Economic Reform in Egypt* (London: I.B. Tauris Publishers, 2001), chapter 8 [OL]

* Timothy Mitchell, *Rule of Experts: Egypt, Techno-Politics, Modernity* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002), chapter 9.

* Maha Abdelrahman, *Egypt's Long Revolution: Protest Movements and Uprisings* (London: Routledge, 2014), chapters 3 and 6. [OL - ebook]

Philip Marfleet, *Egypt: Contested Revolution* (London: Pluto Press, 2016), chapter 10 and afterword

Shana Marshall, 'The Egyptian armed forces and the remaking of an economic empire', Carnegie paper (2015), at:
http://carnegieendowment.org/files/egyptian_armed_forces.pdf [OL]

Stephan Roll, 'Managing change: how Egypt's military leadership shaped the transformation', *Mediterranean Politics*, 21/1 (2016), pp.23-43. [OL]

Robert Springborg, 'The rewards of failure: persisting military rule in Egypt', *British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, 44/4 (2017), pp.478-496. [OL]

Angela Joya, 'Neoliberalism, the State and Economic Policy Outcomes in the Post-Arab Uprisings: The Case of Egypt', *Mediterranean Politics*, 22/3 (2017), pp.339-361. [OL]

Joshua Stacher, 'Egypt running on empty', *MERIP online*, 8th March 2016, at:
<http://www.merip.org/mero/mero030816> [OL]

2.6 Experiments in democratisation I, or When protest movements matter (and when they don't)

The next two lectures are on processes, problems and pitfalls of democratisation in the Middle East. The two reading lists go together; the difference is of emphasis, but the subject matter is the same. There was a substantial literature before 2010 on the resilience of authoritarianism in the region, particularly in the Arab states, and the first lecture will look at the arguments made in the context of recent changes. The best places to start are the collections by Posusney/Angrist (especially the articles by Bellin, Langohr and Lust-Okar) and Schlumberger (chapters 1, 2 and 10). Many of the other country-specific articles are worth dipping in to as well. The Albrecht/Schlumberger article gives the core argument behind the latter collection. Stacher and Brown are on how authoritarian regimes reinvent themselves; Aras/Falk and Josua focus on how they deal with dissent. Filiu and Cook focus on the role of the military and in Filiu's case, intelligence and paramilitary organisations, whilst Herb and the Kostiner collection look at the specific forms and features of monarchy.

The case study is from Algeria, in light of the movement that started in February 2019. The main texts, for now, are older: Lowi is centrally on economic governance, but also provides a general history, and so is a good place to start (and see especially chapter 6). Werenfels is the most detailed account of how the state works. Entelis and Henry provide updates, questioning the durability of the model. The two listed essays in the Bonner collection look at specific features of the Algerian state that the other texts miss. On the 2019 movement, the situation has been changing rapidly, but the articles by Wolf, Serres and Joffé are here for first takes.

* Marsha Pripstein Posusney and Michele Penner Angrist, eds., *Authoritarianism in the Middle East: Regimes and Resistance* (Lynne Rienner, 2005); originally published as a special edition of *Comparative Politics*, vol. 36/2 (2004) [OL]

* Oliver Schlumberger, ed., *Debating Arab Authoritarianism* (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2007), especially chapters 1 [M], 2 and 10

Holger Albrecht and Oliver Schlumberger, “‘Waiting for Godot’: regime change without democratization in the Middle East’, *International Political Science Review*, vol. 25/4 (2004), pp.371–92 [OL]

Steven Cook, *Ruling But Not Governing: The Military and Political Development in Egypt, Algeria, and Turkey* (Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press, 2007)

Joshua Stacher, *Adaptable Autocrats: Regime Power in Egypt and Syria* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2012)

Bülent Aras and Richard Falk, ‘Authoritarian geopolitics of survival in the Arab Spring’, *Third World Quarterly*, vol. 36/2 (2015), pp.322-336. [OL]

Maria Josua, ‘Co-optation Reconsidered: Authoritarian Regime Legitimation Strategies in the Jordanian “Arab Spring”’, *Middle East Law and Governance*, vol. 8 (2016), pp.32-56. [OL]

Nathan J. Brown, ‘Regimes reinventing themselves: constitutional development in the Arab World’, *International Sociology*, 18(1), 2003, pp.33-52 [OL]

Jean-Paul Filiu, *From Deep State to Islamic State: The Arab Counter-Revolution and its Jihadi Legacy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015).

Michael Herb, ‘Princes and parliaments in the Arab world’, *Middle East Journal*, vol. 58/3 (2004), pp.367-384 [OL]

Case study - Algerian politics after the civil war

Miriam Lowi, *Oil Wealth and the Politics of Poverty: Algeria Compared* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011) [OL]

* Isabelle Werenfels, *Managing Instability in Algeria: Elites and Political Change since 1995* (London: Routledge, 2007)

* John Entelis, ‘Algeria: democracy denied, and revived?’, *Journal of North African Studies*, vol. 16/4 (2011), pp.653-678 [OL]

William Quandt, ‘Algeria’s transition to what?’ and Mohammed Akacem, ‘The role of external actors in Algeria’s transition’, in Michael Bonner, Megan Reif and Mark Tessler, eds., *Islam*,

Democracy and the State in Algeria (London: Routledge, 2005). Originally published as articles of a special issue the *Journal of North African Studies*, vol. 9/2 (2004) **[OL]**

Clement M. Henry, 'The vanishing intermediary bodies of "authoritarian" regimes: legacies of Algerian student revolutionaries', in Noureddine Jebnoun, Mehrdad Kia and Mimi Kirk, eds, *Modern Middle East Authoritarianism: Roots, Ramifications, and Crisis* (London: Routledge, 2014), pp.81-100. **[M]**

Anne Wolf, 'The myth of stability in Algeria', *Journal of North African Studies*, vol. 24/5 (2019), pp.707-12. **[OL]**

Thomas Serres, 'Understanding Algeria's 2019 revolutionary movement', Middle East Brief (Brandeis University, 2019), at: <https://www.brandeis.edu/crown/publications/meb/meb129.pdf> **[OL]**

George Joffé, 'Have Algerians seized back the initiative?', *The Journal of North African Studies*, vol.24/3 (2019), pp.349-355. **[OL]**

2.7 Experiments in democratisation II, or Why dominant governments sometimes invite argumentative politics

The Middle East makes us question binary characterisations of democracy and authoritarianism, and a number of texts in this list look at features that problematise simple distinctions or notions of staged transitions. The Selvik/Stenslie volume may be a useful starting point: it's introductory, but has a useful overview of themes and places, particularly in the Gulf region; this can be complemented with the *Democratization* special issue, which looks at the different political trajectories that came out of the 2011 Arab uprisings. The other texts are all making specific arguments: in the Salamé collection, see particularly the opening article by Waterbury. The Robinson article is about Jordan, but its argument has wider application. The Norton article may be old, but it is still worth reading for exploring the arguments it makes (or the assumptions it takes). Bayat and Sadiki are on protest and political change, and serve as a useful precursor to reading on the Arab uprisings. See the Gerges collection on this, and for a later evaluation, Anderson.

The case is Kuwait. Tétreault is core, for appreciating how democratic ideas are not new to the region: see particularly chapters 3 and 4. Salem and Kinninmont make their assessment based on reviews of the vigorous operation of the Kuwaiti parliament. Herb, Willis and Beaugrand point to significant limitations in approaching democratisation solely through understanding political institutions.

* *Democratization*, special issue, vol.22/2 (2015), 'From Arab Spring to Arab Winter: Explaining the limits of post-uprising democratisation'. See in particular the introduction by Hinnebusch (pp.205-17) and the articles by Saouli (pp.315-34) and Hinnebusch (pp.335-57). **[OL]**

* Ghassan Salamé, ed., *Democracy without Democrats? The Renewal of Politics in the Muslim World* (London: IB Tauris, 2001 [1994]), esp Waterbury **[M]**

Kjetil Selvik and Stig Stenslie, *Stability and Change in the Modern Middle East* (London: IB Tauris, 2011). **[OL]**

Glenn Robinson, 'Defensive democratization in Jordan', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 30/3 (1998), pp.387-409 **[OL]**

Augustus Richard Norton, 'The future of civil society in the Middle East', *Middle East Journal*, vol. 47/2 (1993), pp.205-16 [OL]

Asef Bayat, 'Activism and social development in the Middle East', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 34 (2002), pp.1-28 [OL]

Larbi Sadiki, 'Popular uprisings and Arab democratization', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 32 (2000), pp.71-95 [OL]

Fawaz Gerges, 'Introduction: a rupture', and Charles Tripp, 'The politics of resistance and the Arab uprisings', in Fawaz Gerges, ed., *The New Middle East: Protest and Revolution in the Arab World* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014).

* Lisa Anderson, 'Bread, dignity and social justice: populism in the Arab world', *Philosophy and Social Criticism*, vol.44/4 (2018), pp.478-490.

Case study – parliamentarism in Kuwait

* Mary Ann Tétreault, *Stories of Democracy: Politics and Society in Contemporary Kuwait* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2000), chapters 3-4.

* Michael Herb, 'A nation of bureaucrats: political participation and economic diversification in Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 41/3 (2009), pp.375-395 [OL]

Emily Regan Wills, 'Democratic paradoxes: women's rights and democratization in Kuwait', *Middle East Journal*, vol. 67/2 (2013), pp.173-184 [OL]

Claire Beaugrand, 'Statelessness and administrative violence: Bidūns' survival strategies in Kuwait', *The Muslim World*, vol. 101/2 (2011), pp.228-250. [OL]

Paul Salem, 'Kuwait: politics in a participatory emirate', *Carnegie Papers* (2007) [OL], at: https://www.files.ethz.ch/isn/157954/CMEC_3_salem_kuwait_final1.pdf

Jane Kinninmont, 'Kuwait's parliament: an experiment in semi-democracy', Chatham House briefing paper (2012) [OL], at: http://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/public/Research/Middle%20East/0812bp_kinninmont.pdf

2.8 Gender and political change, or The difference women make

The Ehteshami/Murphy article provides the starting point for the lecture, which covers a wide range of topics: it is their characterisation of the Middle Eastern state as a corporatist one that is useful as a framing device. The first three texts listed cover labour movements, but the main focus in the lecture is on gender politics and the role of women in politics. Section 2 of Keddie provides a starting point, though the Joseph/Slyomovics collection will be more relevant (especially the articles by Graham-Brown, Joseph and Kandiyoti). The Chatty/Rabo volume (chapters 1, 6 and 9) and the Doumato/Posusney collection are also useful for the general overview. On the 2011 protest movements, a variety of the short articles in the special issue of the *Journal of International Women's Studies* will be useful: see especially Sika and Khodary (on Egypt) and Salime (on Morocco). The attention to women in political parties and state-sponsored programmes of empowerment

provides particular interest: see Clark/Schwedler, Hatem, Browsers and Joseph for different takes. Al-Ali (perhaps especially chapter 6) and Mahmood (chapter 2 for the descriptive basis, chapter 5 for the most relevant arguments) look at the interplay of religion and gender: both are about Egypt, but they have wider resonance. Al-Rasheed (perhaps especially the introduction) speaks to how women figure within Saudi Arabia's religious nationalism. Massad is an innovative exploration of thinking about sexuality in the Arab world: chapter 3 tends to draw the most attention.

The case study is from Tunisia, looking at state empowerment and the consequences of the 2011 revolution for women. Murphy's 1999 book gives the general historical background, but the 2003 article will be most relevant here. Charrad explores the changing role of women in state policy. Marks looks at the effect of the revolution, and can be compared with Coleman. On this, see also the piece by Zlitni and Touati in the *Journal of International Women's Studies*, referenced earlier.

Anoush Ehteshami and Emma Murphy, 'Transformation of the corporatist state in the Middle East', *Third World Quarterly*, vol. 17/4 (1996), pp.753-772 **[OL]**

Joel Beinin, *Workers and Peasants in the Modern Middle East* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001) **[OL]**

Ellis Jay Goldberg, ed., *The Social History of Labor in the Middle East* (Boulder: Westview Press, 1996)

Zachery Lockman, *Workers and Working Classes in the Middle East: Struggles, Histories, and Historiographies* (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1994).

Nikkie Keddie, *Women in the Middle East: Past and Present* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007)

* Suad Joseph and Susan Slyomovics, eds., *Women and Power in the Middle East* (Philadelphia: University of Philadelphia, 2001)

Dawn Chatty and Annika Rabo, *Organizing Women: Formal and Informal Women's Groups in the Middle East* (Oxford: Berg, 1997)

* Janine Astrid Clark and Jillian Schwedler, 'Who opened the window? Women's activism in Islamist parties', *Comparative Politics*, vol. 35/3 (April 2003), pp.293-312 **[OL]**

Journal of International Women's Studies, vol. 13/5 (2012), special issue: 'Arab Women – Arab Spring', via: <http://vc.bridgew.edu/jiws/vol13/iss5/> **[OL]**

Mervat Hatem, 'Toward the development of post-Islamist and post-nationalist feminist discourses in the Middle East', in Judith E. Tucker, *Arab Women: Old Boundaries, New Frontiers* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1993) **[OL]**

Michaëlle Browsers, 'The centrality and marginalization of women in the political discourse of Arab Nationalists and Islamists', *Journal of Middle East Women's Studies*, vol. 2/2 (June 2006), pp.8-34 **[OL]**, at:

http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/journal_of_middle_east_womens_studies/v002/2.2browsers.pdf

Suad Joseph, 'Elite strategies for state building: women, family, religion and the state in Iraq and Lebanon', in Deniz Kandiyoti, *Women, Islam and the State* (London: Macmillan, 1991) **[M]**

Nadje Al-Ali, *Secularism, Gender, and the State in the Middle East* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000) [OL]

Saba Mahmood, *Politics of Piety: The Islamic Revival and the Feminist Subject* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2005) [OL]

Madawi Al-Rasheed, *A Most Masculine State: Gender, Politics and Religion in Saudi Arabia* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013) [OL]

Eleanor Abdella Doumato and Marsha Pripstein Posusney, eds., *Women and Globalization in the Arab Middle East: Gender, Economy and Society* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 2003)

Joseph Massad, *Desiring Arabs* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2007)

Case study – the Tunisian state and gender relations

Emma Murphy, *Economic and Political Change in Tunisia* (London: Macmillan, 1999)

* Mounira Charrad, 'Policy shifts: state, Islam and gender in Tunisia, 1930s-1990s', in *Social Politics*, vol 4/2 (1997), pp.284-319 [OL]

Emma Murphy, 'Women in Tunisia: between state feminism and economic reform', in Eleanor Abdella Doumato and Marsha Pripstein Posusney, eds., *Women and Globalization in the Arab Middle East: Gender, Economy and Society* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 2003), pp.169-94. [M]

* Monica Marks, 'Women's rights before and after the revolution', in Nouri Gana, ed., *The Making of the Tunisian Revolution: Contexts, Architects, Prospects* (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2013), pp.224-251 [OL]

Isobel Coleman, 'Why the Arab spring hasn't been better for women', *The Atlantic*, 8 March 2012, at: <http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2012/03/why-the-arab-spring-hasnt-been-better-for-women/254150/> [OL]

Suggested supervision questions:

- Are the origins of the Middle Eastern state to be found in the Ottoman Empire, Western imperialism or post-independence politics?
- Does nationalism remain a key political frame of reference within the Middle East?
- Are nationalism and religion opposing or complementary forces in Arab politics?
- To what extent and in what ways is sect an important category for understanding Middle Eastern politics?
- Does rentierism provide a compelling explanation for the political form of oil-rich Middle Eastern states?
- Are there key characteristics to authoritarian forms of rule that are found in the Arab world, and if so, what are they?
- Are many Arab states making a 'transition' to democracy?
- Does 'state feminism' meaningfully empower women in the Middle East?

3. The Politics of Islamism

Lectures (Dr Faiz Sheikh): Michaelmas weeks 1-8, Tuesday@ 4pm.

These lectures present four key thinkers of political Islam from the 19th and 20th century. These thinkers represent the 'origins' (Al-Afghani), Arab-Sunni (Al-Banna), Pakistani-Sunni (Mawdudi) and Sunni-Jihadist (Qutb) foundations of Sunni Islamic political thought. The thinking of these individuals, and the movements and ideas they have articulated, has had a lasting and profound impact on the political direction of Muslim majority countries after their experience and interaction with European colonialism. As such, these lectures will ask you to critically engage with not only theological and political arguments about the function of Islam in politics, but also the historical context and position of such thought as a search for post-colonial identity.

The lectures aim to introduce students to key non-Anglo/American political thinkers of the 19th and 20th centuries. The module will show the innovative nature of the 'Islamic state', and the interaction of European colonialism on intellectual history of political Islam. In addition, students will be asked to engage with history and theology to gain a broad and deep understanding of political Islam and its intersections with various academic disciplines.

3.1 What is 'political Islam'?

Essential Reading:

Mandaville, P: *Global Political Islam*, (London: Routledge, 2007), chapter 2

Donahue, J: 'Islam and the Search for Identity in the Arab World', in Esposito, J: *Voices of Resurgent Islam* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1983), pp. 48-62

Waines, D: *An Introduction to Islam*. (Cambridge; Cambridge University Press, 1995). Chapter 6

Further Reading:

Tibi, B: *Arab Nationalism: Between Islam and the Nation State*, 3rd edition, (Basingstoke: MacMillan Press, 1997) Chapter 4, part (d) pp.88-94

Rahnema, A: 'Introduction to 2nd Edition: Contextualizing the Pioneers of Islamic Revival' in Rahnema, A (ed): *Pioneers of Islamic Revival*, 2nd ed (London: Zed Books, 2006), pp. ix-lxxxiii

Rahnema, A: 'Introduction to First Edition' in Rahnema, A (ed): *Pioneers of Islamic Revival*, 2nd ed (London: Zed Books, 2006), pp. 1-10

Ayubi, N: *Political Islam: Religion and Politics in the Arab World*, (London: Routledge, 1991), chapter 6

Gregorian, V: *Islam: A Mosaic, not a Monolith*, (Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institute Press, 2003), chapter 1 *** Particularly useful for those who need a brief overview of Islamic belief and history ***

Nasr, S: *Islamic Philosophy from its Origin to the Present*, (New York: State University of New York Press, 2006), chapter 11

Cleveland, W: *A History of the Modern Middle East*. (Oxford: Westview Press, 2000). Chapter 1
Waines, D: *An Introduction to Islam*, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995) Chapter 3
Endress, G: *An Introduction to Islam*, (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1988) pp. 21-55 and
pp. 51-71.
Rippin, A *Muslims: Their Religious Beliefs and Practices*, (London: Routledge, 2001).

3.2 Contemporary manifestations: Violent rejection and peaceful elections

This week, the readings are varied, covering a few different topics. While the lecture will cover all of these briefly, you need not read everything. Read based on your interests, either one from each section, or all from one section.

On the Arab Uprisings of 2011 and their aftermath:

Milton-Edwards, B: *Contemporary Politics of the Middle East*, 4th edition, (Cambridge: Polity Press, 2018). Chapter 5.

Ashour, Omar. "Collusion to Collision: Islamist-Military Relations." *Brooking Papers* (March 2015):

Wolf, A: "Secular forms of politicised Islam in Tunisia: the Constitutional Democratic Rally and Nida' Tunis", in Hendrik Kraetzschmar and Paola Rivetti, *Islamists and the Politics of the Arab Uprisings*, (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2018), pp. 205-220

On al-Qaeda and global jihad:

Mccants, Will. "Al-Qaeda's Challenge: the Jihadists' War with Islamist Democracy." *Foreign Affairs*, vol. 90 (2011).

Kepel, G: *Jihad: The Trail of Political Islam*, (London; I.B. Tauris, 2003) Chapters 6, 9 and 13.

Hegghammer, T, "Global Jihadism after the Iraq War" pp.296-317, in Frederik Volpi (ed.), *Political Islam: A Critical Reader*, (London: Routledge, 2011)

Burgat, F: *Islamism in the Shadow of al-Qaeda*, (Austin: University of Texas Press , 2008).

On social welfare:

Scott, J: "Resistance without Protest and without Organization: Peasant Opposition to the Islamic Zakat and the Christian Tithe", *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 29(3) 1987: pp. 417-452

May, S: "The Politicisation of Piety". *Middle East Critique*, 22 (2), 2013: pp.146-164

Roy, S: *Hamas and Civil Society in Gaza*, (Princeton; Princeton University Press, 2011), Chapter 7

Burton, G: "Hamas and its Vision of Development", *Third World Quarterly*, 33 (3), 2012: pp. 525-540

On Feminism:

Deeb, L: *The Enchanted Modern: Gender and Public Piety in Shi'i Lebanon*, (New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2006). Chapter 6.

Mahmood, S: "Feminist Theory, Embodiment, and the Docile Agent: Some Reflections on the Egyptian Islamic Revival," *Cultural Anthropology*, 16(2), 2001, pp. 202-236.

Jad, I: "Between Religion and Secularism: Islamist Women of Hamas", in Fereshteh Nouraie-Simone (ed.): *On Shifting Ground: Muslim Women in the Global Era*, (New York: Feminist Press, 2005): pp. 172-195.

Muhannad A: "Islamic and Secular Women's Activism and Discourses in Post-Uprising Tunisia" in Maha El Said, Lena Meari and Nicola Pratt (eds): *Rethinking Gender in Revolutions and Resistance: Lessons from the Arab World*, (London: Zed Books, 2015): pp. 205-231.

On ISIS/Daesh:

Adam H: "A Brief History of ISIS," *Jacobin*, December 2015.

Hegghammer, T: "Jihadism Seven Assumption Shaken by the Arab Spring." in Lynch, Marc, et al. "Rethinking Islamist Politics." POMEPS Briefings, 11 February 2014, pp. 28-31.

Bunzel, C: "From paper state to Caliphate: the Ideology of the Islamic State" Brookings, March 2015

Burgat, F: *Islamism in the Shadow of Al Qaida*, (Austin: University of Texas Press, 2008) Chapter 6

3.3 Islam and the state: End of the Caliphate and beginnings of colonial rule

Essential Reading:

Akbarzadeh, S and Saeed, A: 'Islam and politics', in Akbarzadeh, S and Saeed, A (eds): *Islam and Political Legitimacy*, (Abingdon: RoutledgeCurzon, 2003) pp. 1-13

Goldschmidt, A: "The Historical Context" in Deborah J. Gerner (ed.), *Understanding the Contemporary Middle East*, (London: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2000), pp. 39-48.

Lapidus, I: *A History of Islamic Countries*, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999). Chapter 3.

Further Reading:

Cleveland, W: *A History of the Modern Middle East*. (Oxford: Westview Press, 2000). Chapter 7

Hourani, A: *The History of the Arab Peoples*, (London: Faber and Faber, 2002). Chapter 18

Dallal, A: "The Origins and Objectives of Islamic Revivalist Thought, 1750-1850", (*Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 113(3), 1993): 341-359

3.4 Al-Afghani: Reform or Apology?

Essential Reading:

Keddie, N: 'Sayyid Jamal al-Din 'al-Afghani' in Rahnema, A (ed): *Pioneers of Islamic Revival*, 2nd ed (London: Zed Books, 2006), pp. 11-29

Al-Afghani, J: 'An Islamic Response to Imperialism' in Donohue, J and Esposito, J (eds): *Islam in Transition: Muslim Perspectives*, 2nd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 16-19

Al-Afghani, J: 'Islamic Solidarity' in Donohue, J and Esposito, J (eds): *Islam in Transition: Muslim Perspectives*, 2nd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 20-23

Further Reading:

Al-Azmeh, A: *Islam and Modernities*. 3rd ed, (London: Verso, 2009), Chapter 4

Choueiri, Youssef M. 1997. *Islamic Fundamentalism*, (London and New York: Continuum). Chapter 1: "Islam and Islamic Revivalism", and Chapter 2: "Islamic Reformism".

Waines, D: *An Introduction to Islam*, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995) pp. 200 -210.

Aboul-Enein, Y: *Militant Islamist Ideology: Understanding the Global Threat*, (Annapolis: Naval Institute Press, 2010). Chapter 8

Al-Afghani, J: "Religion vs Science" in Moaddel, M and Talatoff, K (eds.): *Modernist and Fundamentalist Debates in Islam: A reader*, (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002), pp. 23-28

3.5 Al-Banna, the Muslim Brotherhood, and the bottom-up state

Essential Reading:

Communs, D: 'Hasan al-Bana' in Rahnema, A (ed): *Pioneers of Islamic Revival*, 2nd ed (London: Zed Books, 2006), pp. 125-153

Al-Banna, H: 'The New Renaissance' in Donohue, J and Esposito, J (eds): *Islam in Transition: Muslim Perspectives*, 2nd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 59-63

Al-Banna, H: 'The Credo of the 'Muslim Brotherhood' in Abdel-Malek, A: *Contemporary Arab Political Thought*, (London: Zed Books, 1983), pp. 45-48

El-Ghobashy, Mona. "The Metamorphosis of the Egyptian Muslim Brothers." (*International Journal of Middle Eastern Studies* 38(3), 2005): 373-395

Brown Nathan J, "The Brotherhood withdraws into itself" in Lynch, Marc, et al. "Rethinking Islamist Politics." POMEPS Briefings, 11 February 2014, 19-23.

Further Reading:

Al-Banna, H: *Five Tracts of Hasan al-Banna' (1906-1949)*, Charles Wendell (trans.), (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1978)

Mitchell, R: *The Society of the Muslim Brothers*, (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993), Chapters 1 and 11

Aboul-Enein, Y: *Militant Islamist Ideology: Understanding the Global Threat*, (Annapolis: Naval Institute Press, 2010) Chapter 13

Marechal, B: *The Muslim Brothers in Europe: roots and discourse*. (Boston: Brill, 2008), Chapter 1

Jansen, J: 'Hasan al-Banna's Earliest Pamphlet', (*Die Welt Des Islams*, New Series 32(2), 1992): 254-258

3.6 Mawdudi, jamaat-e-Islami, and the top-down state

Essential Reading:

Nasr, S: 'Mawdudi and the Jama'at-I Islami: The origins, Theory and Practice of Islamic Revivalism' in Rahnema, A (ed): *Pioneers of Islamic Revival*, 2nd ed (London: Zed Books, 2006), pp. 98-124

Adams, C: 'Mawdudi and the Islamic State', in Esposito, J: *Voices of Resurgent Islam* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1983), pp. 99-133

Mawdudi, A: 'Nationalism and Islam', in Donohue, J and Esposito, J (eds): *Islam in Transition: Muslim Perspectives*, 2nd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 74-77

Mawdudi, A: 'Political Theory of Islam', in Donohue, J and Esposito, J (eds): *Islam in Transition: Muslim Perspectives*, 2nd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 262-270

Further Reading:

Ahmed, I: 'Genealogy of the Islamic State: reflections on Mawdudi's political thought and Islamism' in Osella, F and Soares, B (eds): *Islam, Politics, Anthropology*, (Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010), pp. 138-155

Jackson, R: *Mawlana Mawdudi and Political Islam: Authority and the Islamic State*, (London: Routledge, 2008), Introduction, chapters 6, 8 and 9

Moaddel, M and Talatoff, K (eds.): *Modernist and Fundamentalist Debates in Islam: A reader*, (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002). Chapter 24.

Kepel, G: *Jihad: The Trail of Political Islam*, (London; I. B. Tauris, 2003). pp. 23-42.

Adams. C: "Mawdudi and the Islamic State" in John Esposito (ed.) *Voices of Resurgent Islam*, (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1983). Chapter 5.

Choueiri, Y: *Islamic Fundamentalism*, (London: Continuum, 1997). Chapter 5.

Maudoodi, S: *Towards Understanding the Quran*. (Leister: Islamic Foundation, 1988).

3.7 Qutb and jihad

Essential Reading:

Tripp, C: 'Sayyid Qutb: The Political Vision' in Rahnema, A (ed): *Pioneers of Islamic Revival*, 2nd ed (London: Zed Books, 2006), pp. 154-183

Haddad, Y: 'Sayyid Qutb: Ideologue of Islamic Revival', in Esposito, J: *Voices of Resurgent Islam* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1983), pp. 67-98

Qutb, S: 'Social Justice in Islam', in Donohue, J and Esposito, J (eds): *Islam in Transition: Muslim Perspectives*, 2nd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 103-108

Qutb, S: 'Jihad in the Cause of God', in Donohue, J and Esposito, J (eds): *Islam in Transition: Muslim Perspectives*, 2nd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 409-416

Qutb, Sayyid. *Milestones*

Further Reading:

The Power of Nightmares, Part 1: Baby It's Cold Outside, video, BBC2, 2004, directed by Adam Curtis

Shepard, W: Sayyid Qutb's Doctrine of "Jāhiliyya", (*International Journal of Middle East Studies* , 35(4), 2003): 521-545.

Khatab, S: "Hakimiyyah" and "Jahiliyyah" in the Thought of Sayyid Qutb. *Middle Eastern Studies*, 38(3), 2002:145-170.

Toth, J: *Sayyid Qutb: The life and Legacy of a Radical Islamic Intellectual*, (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013), Chapters 6 and 8

Aboul-Enein, Y: *Militant Islamist Ideology: Understanding the Global Threat*, (Annapolis; Naval Institute Press, 2010). Chapter 14

Moaddel, M and Talatoff, K (eds.): *Modernist and Fundamentalist Debates in Islam: A reader*, (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002) Chapters 19 and 21.

Choueiri, Y: *Islamic Fundamentalism*, (London: Continuum, 1997). Chapters 5-6.

3.8 Some conclusions

Essential Readings:

Bayat, A: "What is Post-Islamism?" (*ISIM Newsletter*, 16, 2005)

Ismail, S: "the Paradox of Islamist Politics" (*The Middle East Report*, 22, 2010): 34-39

Bayat A: "Post-Islamism at Large" in Bayat Asef (ed.) *Post-Islamism: the Changing Face of political Islam*, (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013).

Recommended Readings:

Roy, O: *Globalized Islam: The Search for a New Ummah*. (New York: Columbia University Press, 2004).

Chapter 2.

Lacroix, S: "Between Islamists and Liberals: Saudi Arabia's New Islamo-Liberal Reformists", (*Middle East Journal*, 58(3), 2004): 345-365

Hurd, E: "Iran, in search of a nonsecular and nontheocratic Politics" (*Public Culture*, 22(1), 2010): 25-32

Suggested supervision questions:

1. Is political Islam a 'top-down' or 'bottom-up' phenomenon?
2. In what ways does the decline and abolition of the Caliphate impact political Islam?
3. Is there such a thing as Muslim politics?

4. International politics and conflict

Lectures (Glen Rangwala): Lent weeks 1-8, Wednesdays @ 10am. ARB SG1.

The lectures in this series are organised to cover two themes. After a short introduction to the conceptual field of the International Relations of the Middle East, the first three lectures are on the Arab-Israeli conflict, with emphasis on exploring the multiple different ways in which this conflict has been studied. That is followed by two lectures on the international politics of the Persian Gulf region, and two lectures on the question of how external powers affect domestic politics. The final lecture reverses that question, exploring if and how changing structures of national politics will change the international politics of the region.

4.1a. The balance of power in the Middle East

The first half of this lecture sets out the themes of this lecture series. The texts in the list below are mostly introductory books on the international politics of the region. They first four text serve as useful places to start reading around the topics in this section; Brown is included for historical interest. Barnett and Lawson are slightly different, in that they provide historical accounts of the formation and possible disintegration of the system of Arab states. Kerr and Walt are perhaps the two main 'classics' in this field of study. Kerr provides an historical account of a period of intense international rivalry in the Arab world. Walt nests an explanation of how outside powers form alliances with Middle Eastern states within a theory of alliance formation.

Louise Fawcett, ed., *International Relations of the Middle East* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 3rd edn, 2013)

Raymond Hinnebusch and Anoushiravan Ehteshami, eds, *The Foreign Policies of Middle East States* (Boulder CO: Lynne Rienner, 2nd edn, 2014)

Fred Halliday, *The Middle East in International Relations: Power, Politics and Ideology* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005)

Ray Hinnebusch, *The International Politics of the Middle East* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2003)

L. Carl Brown, *International Politics and the Middle East: Old Rules, Dangerous Game* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984)

Michael Barnett, *Dialogues in Arab Politics: Negotiations in Regional Order* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1998)

Fred Lawson, *Constructing International Relations in the Arab World* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2006)

Malcolm Kerr, *The Arab Cold War: Gamal 'Abd al-Nasir and his Rivals, 1958-1970* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1971)

Stephen Walt, *The Origins of Alliances* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1987)

4.1b. The Arab-Israeli conflict, or A case study in how international & domestic politics collide

The remainder of the first lecture, and the two subsequent lectures, each approach the Arab-Israeli conflict through one of the major prisms for studying it: first, as an international conflict that crucially involves major external powers; second, as a struggle between two national groups within the same small territory; and third as a venue for a hitherto unfulfilled peacemaking process.

This lecture provides some background, but concentrates on the 1967 war, its origins and its aftermath, as a way to explore the range of international factors that are implicated in war. The first three texts all provide general histories of the international politics of the conflict, structured around the major cross-border wars (1947-1949, 1967, 1973 and 1982). Reading one of them should be sufficient. Rabinovich and Shlaim, differently, look at the diplomacy between the parties before 1967, to explore collusion as well as violent conflict (Shlaim's book is a revised version of *Collusion Across the Jordan*, originally published in 1988).

On the 1967 war, Bowen is a well-researched journalist's account which also contains new information on the discussions between Israel's leaders at the time (pp.84-93). Laron gives a lively and richly contextual account, emphasising prior political and economic change as crucial for the war's inception. Oren, Israel's ambassador to the US until 2013, attempts to show how competitive relations within Egypt's political elite led to a confrontation; Popp's critique of Oren should be read in conjunction with this account. Gerges (esp pp.205-44) looks at the role of the US and USSR. On the Soviet Union, the text edited by Ro'i and Morozov is useful; chapters 1,2, 4 and 5 in particular are worth reading. Gat examines the attempts by the United States to contain, resolve or extricate itself from the conflict. Neff portrays the US as heavily partisan; the accounts from Little and Shannon offer a more nuanced (though not necessarily more accurate) portrait. The two articles in the Louis/Shlaim collection look at the consequences of the 1967 war for regional politics.

Benny Morris, *Righteous victims: a history of the Zionist-Arab conflict, 1881-1999* (London: John Murray, 1999).

Kirsten E. Schulze, *The Arab-Israeli conflict*, 2nd ed. (Harlow: Pearson Longman, 2008)

Sydney Bailey, *Four Arab-Israeli Wars and the Peace Process* (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1990)

Itamar Rabinovich, *The Road Not Taken: Early Arab-Israeli Negotiations* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991)

Avi Shlaim, *The Politics of Partition: King Abdullah, the Zionists and Palestine, 1921-1951* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, revised edn, 1998)

Jeremy Bowen, *Six Days* (London: Simon & Schuster, 2003).

Guy Laron, *The Six Day War: The Breaking of the Middle East* (London: Yale University Press, 2017)

Michael B. Oren, *Six Days of War: June 1967 and the Making of the Modern Middle East* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002), 1-169

Roland Popp, 'Stumbling decidedly into the Six-Day War', *Middle East Journal*, vol. 60/2 (2006), pp.281-309

Fawaz A. Gerges, *The Superpowers and the Middle East: Regional and International Politics* (Boulder CO: Westview, 1994)

Yaacov Ro'i and Boris Morozov, eds., *The Soviet Union and the June 1967 Six Day War* (Stanford University Press, 2008)

Moshe Gat, 'Let someone else do the job: American policy on the eve of the six day war', *Diplomacy and Statecraft*, vol. 14 (2003), pp.131-58 [OL]

Donald Neff, *Fallen Pillars: US policy towards Palestine and Israel since 1945* (Washington DC: Institute for Palestinian Studies, 1995).

Douglas Little, 'The Making of a Special Relationship: The United States and Israel, 1957–68', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 25 (1993), pp.563-585 [OL]

Vaughn P. Shannon, *Balancing Act: US Foreign Policy and the Arab-Israeli Conflict* (London: Ashgate, 2003).

Rashid Khalidi, 'The 1967 war and the demise of Arab nationalism: chronicle of a death foretold', and Fawaz Gerges, 'The transformation of Arab politics: disentangling myth from reality', in W. Roger Louis and Avi Shlaim, eds, *The 1967 Arab-Israeli war: Origins and Consequences* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012).

4.2 The Israeli-Palestinian conflict, or Land as resource, land as symbol

Pappe, in approaching relations historically between Jews and Palestinians as moving from limited co-existing to hostility, provides a good starting point for this theme; Gelvin and Milton-Edwards are alternative introductions. The remaining literature looks at how the domestic politics of each side interacts with the trajectory of the conflict. Shlaim, Peri and Newman all look at different aspects of how Israeli politics interact with their relations with the Palestinians – ideology, military and land respectively. This is to complement the literature on Israel listed with section 2.2 above, particularly the book by Kimmerling. On the Palestinian side, it is useful to consider how Palestinian nationalism is often presented through the narrative of a dispossessed peasantry: Khalidi chapter 5 is here perhaps the best starting point. Sayigh is a thorough account of the development of the PLO, and Hroub, Legrain and Robinson provide contrasting interpretations of Hamas.

Ilan Pappé, *A History of Modern Palestine: One Land, Two Peoples* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004)

James L. Gelvin, *The Israel-Palestine Conflict: One Hundred Years of War* (Cambridge University Press, 2007)

Beverley Milton-Edwards, *The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict: A People's War* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2009)

Avi Shlaim, *The Iron Wall: Israel and the Arab World* (London: Allen Lane, 2000)

Yoram Peri, *Generals in the Cabinet Room: How the Military Shapes Israeli Policy* (Washington: USIP, 2006)

* David Newman, 'From Hitnachelut to Hitnatkut: the impact of Gush Emunim and the settlement movement on Israeli politics and society', *Israel Studies*, vol. 10/3 (2005), pp.192-224 [OL]

* Rashid Khalidi, *Palestinian Identity: The Construction of Modern National Consciousness* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1997)

Yezid Sayigh, *Armed Struggle and the Search for State: The Palestinian National Movement 1949-1993* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1997)

Khaled Hroub, *Hamas: Political Thought and Practice* (Washington: Institute for Palestine Studies, 2000)

J. F. Legrain, 'Hamas: legitimate heir of Palestinian nationalism?', in John Esposito, ed., *Political Islam: Revolution, Radicalism, or Reform?* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 1999).

Glenn E. Robinson, 'Hamas as social movement', in Quintan Wiktorowicz, ed., *Islamic Activism: A Social Movement Theory Approach* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2004).

4.3 The Israeli-Palestinian peace system, or A thirty year process without an outcome

These are analyses of externally mediated attempts to bring a peace settlement between Israeli and Palestinian leaderships. They provide little political context; the reading lists with 4.2 are for that. Quandt is the overarching account of the various attempts since 1967; Podeh is a good reference book, and is more up to date (chapter 23 on the Annapolis process and after is perhaps most useful). Thrall serves as the critique of those who think that peace is brought by mediation. The remainder are on the post-1993 period, from the Oslo accords onwards. The essays in the collections edited by Rothstein and Peleg provide a range of perspectives on what the negotiations achieved, and (for the Rothstein collection) why they failed. See also the different explanations for failure from Sayigh and Pundak by 2000. Parsons looks at the creation of the Palestinian Authority and its failings, both structural and personal. Broader explanations are provided by Pearlman and Slater, with the former focused on spoiler actions by Palestinian groups, and the latter on Israeli unilateral actions. For a bit more colour, Enderlin and Bregman provide detailed journalists' accounts of how peace processes fell apart: Enderlin on the 1995-2002 period, Bregman on 1999-2004.

* William B. Quandt, *Peace Process: American Diplomacy and the Arab-Israeli Conflict since 1967* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 3rd edn, 2005)

Elie Podeh, *Chances for Peace: Missed Opportunities in the Arab-Israeli Conflict* (Austin: University of Texas Press, 2015)

* Nathan Thrall, 'The only language they understand', in *The Only Language They Understand: Forcing Compromise in Israel and Palestine* (New York: Metropolitan Books, 2017), pp.5-74.

Robert L. Rothstein et al, eds., *The Israeli-Palestinian peace process: Oslo and the lessons of failure: perspectives, predicaments and prospects* (Brighton: Sussex Academic, 2002)

Ilan Peleg, *The Middle East Peace Process: Interdisciplinary Perspectives* (Albany: SUNY Press, 1998)

Nigel Parsons, *From Oslo to Al-Aqsa: The Politics of the Palestinian Authority* (London: Routledge, 2003).

Yezid Sayigh, 'Arafat and the Anatomy of a Revolt' and Ron Pundak, 'From Oslo to Taba: What Went Wrong?' in *Survival*, vol. 43/3 (Autumn 2001) **[OL]**

* Wendy Pearlman, 'Spoiling inside and out: internal political contestation and the Middle East peace process', *International Security*, vol. 33/3 (2009), pp.79-109 [OL]

* Jerome Slater, 'What went wrong? The collapse of the Israeli-Palestinian peace process', *Political Science Quarterly*, vol. 116/2 (2001), pp.171-199

Charles Enderlin, *Shattered Dreams: The Failure of the Peace Process in the Middle East* (2003)

Ahron Bregman, *Elusive Peace: How the Holy Land Defeated America* (London: Penguin, 2005).

4.4 The international politics of the Gulf, or From Cooperation to Qatarstrophe

This lecture approaches the Persian Gulf region (encompassing Iran, Iraq and the GCC States) as a zone in which there is a high level of international engagement, across a number of economic, political and military spheres. The first lecture maps out the general contours of Gulf policy as it has developed from 1979. The following lecture is focused on the growing sense of crisis in inter-Gulf politics, especially from 2017.

For the first lecture, the collections by Potter/Sick and Kamrava are good texts with which to begin: in Potter/Sick, see particularly chapters 3 and 4 (and also, for country specific arguments, 11 and 12), and chapters 1, 5 and 7 in Kamrava. The Bronson, Vitalis and Yergin texts are long but are all very readable, with different takes on the relationship of the US (and major US corporations) with Saudi Arabia; Yergin's is broadest in focus, Vitalis is most narrow, and Bronson's is most relevant to understanding Saudi Arabia. All three have a somewhat sensationalist style to them, but are well researched. Peterson's short text connects that discussion to Saudi Arabia's security in regional and national spheres. Fawcett is useful on the effect of the Iraq invasion on Gulf politics. Matthiesen's *Sectarian Gulf* touches upon the extent to which the international politics of the Gulf have taken on a strongly sectarian hue. For Qatar's role in regional policy, see the contrasting short accounts of Cooper/ Momani and Roberts 2012 (which can be usefully contrasted with the later piece by the same author). Elements of competition between Gulf States are brought out in Phillips: although the book may appear to be about Syria, chapter 6 in particular is about rivalry between GCC States and its wider effects. The three articles in the Hudson/Kirk collection situate the international relations of the Gulf in a 'post-American' order, not uncontroversially so. On the post-2017 'Qatarstrophe', see Fuller, but also Matthiesen's 'domestic sources' article and Al-Rasheed locate growing Gulf tension in different aspects of changing Saudi foreign policy.

This is also a theme for which it is very worthwhile to recall the reading of Iranian foreign policy from last year's POL4.

* Lawrence Potter and Gary Sick, eds, *Security in the Persian Gulf: Origins, Obstacles and the Search for Consensus* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002)

Mehran Kamrava, ed., *International Politics of the Persian Gulf* (New York: Syracuse University Press, 2011)

Rachel Bronson, *Thicker than Oil: America's Uneasy Partnership with Saudi Arabia* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006)

Robert Vitalis, *America's Kingdom: Mythmaking on the Saudi Oil Frontier* (London: Verso, 2009)

- Daniel Yergin, *The Prize: The Epic Quest for Oil, Money and Power* (New York: Free Press, updated edn, 2008)
- J.E. Peterson, *Saudi Arabia and the Illusion of Security* (London: IISS, 2002)
- Louise Fawcett, 'The Iraq war ten years on: assessing the fallout', *International Affairs*, vol. 89/2 (2013), pp.325-343 **[OL]**
- Toby Matthiesen, *Sectarian Gulf: Bahrain, Saudi Arabia, and the Arab Spring that Wasn't* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2013)
- Andrew Cooper and Bessma Momani, 'Qatar and expanded contours of small state diplomacy', *International Spectator*, vol. 46/3 (2011), pp.113-128 **[OL]**
- David Roberts, 'Understanding Qatar's foreign policy objectives', *Mediterranean Politics*, vol. 17/2 (2012), pp.233-239 **[OL]**
- David Roberts, 'Qatar and the UAE: exploring divergent responses to the Arab Spring', *Middle East Journal*, Vol. 71/4 (2017), pp.554-562 **[OL]**
- Christopher Phillips, *The Battle for Syria: International Rivalry in the New Middle East* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2016)
- Michael Hudson and Mimi Kirk, eds, *Gulf Politics and Economics in a Changing World* (London: World Scientific Publishing, 2014), chapters 8-10
- Toby Matthiesen, 'The domestic sources of Saudi foreign policy: Islamists and the state in the wake of the Arab Uprisings', *Brookings Working Paper* (August 2015), at: https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Saudi-Arabia_Matthiesen-FINALE.pdf
- Madawi Al-Rasheed, 'King Salman and his son: winning the US, losing the rest', LSE Middle East Centre Report (September 2017), at: <http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/mec/2017/09/18/king-salman-and-his-son-winning-the-us-losing-the-rest/>
- Graham E. Fuller, 'Does Qatar really threaten the Gulf?', *Washington Report on Middle East Affairs*, vol.36/5 (2017)

4.5 The international politics of North Africa, or The Unravelling of Libya

From the Gulf to the Maghreb (North Africa), the Middle East is characterised by a highly internationalised process of political competition which often has highly destructive consequences. This lecture explores this theme through the case of Libya. The reading list sets up the topic first within the Maghreb more generally, in which focus is usually placed on competition between Morocco and Algeria. Willis provides a general introduction to the international politics of North Africa, from post-independence ties to France to competition for influence in the aftermath of the 2011 protests. Hernando brings this up to date.

From there, the focus is Libya. Anderson provides an innovative comparison between three different eras of external intervention into Libya. Wehrey provides what is currently the best book-length account of the post-2011 conflict; for the purposes of this lecture, chapter 11 is probably the most useful, and usefully complements Lutterbeck. Sánchez-Mateos focuses particularly on Libyan

foreign policy, but provides broader themes. Libya is usefully positioned within four different international arenas: global, European borderlands, Middle Eastern and African, and de Waal fills in the last of those. Although this lecture is predicated on the sense that Libya's unravelling since 2011 has been a heavily transnationalised issue, it is worth being aware of the counter-argument, which is provided by Toaldo, who instead argues that international intervention has had little effect on local Libyan politics.

Michael Willis, *Politics and Power in the Maghreb* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014), chapter 9.

Miguel Hernando de Larramendi, 'Doomed regionalism in a redrawn Maghreb? The changing shape of the rivalry between Algeria and Morocco in the post-2011 era', *The Journal of North African Studies*, vol.24/3 (2019), pp.506-531

* Lisa Anderson, "'They defeated us all": international interests, local politics and contested sovereignty in Libya', *Middle East Journal*, vol.71/2 (2017), pp.229-247.

* Frederic Wehrey, *The Burning Shores: Inside the Battle for the New Libya* (2019)

Derek Lutterbeck, 'Across the desert, across the sea: migrant smuggling into and from Libya', in Peter Seeberg and Zaid Eyadat, eds., *Migration, Security and Citizenship in the Middle East* (New York: Palgrave, 2013)

Elvira Sánchez-Mateos, 'Internal dystrophy and international rivalry: the (de-)construction of Libyan foreign policy', *The Journal of North African Studies*, vol.24/3 (2019), pp.468-489

Alex de Waal, 'African roles in the Libyan conflict of 2011', *International Affairs*, vol.89/2 (2013), pp.365-379

Mattia Toaldo, 'Decentralising authoritarianism? The international intervention, the new "revolutionaries" and the involution of Post-Qadhafi Libya', *Small Wars & Insurgencies*, vol.27/1 (2016), pp.39-58

4.6 Unity and division in the Arab states system, or Regional order without regional organisers

The lecture is on the discrepancy between the high extent of transnationalism within regional politics, and the very limited scope of regional institutions. It draws particularly on the extent to which political movements are, or are seen to be, proxy forces for external powers. On regional organisation, Aarts sets up the question. The article by Fawcett (chapter 9) in the collection edited by her with 4.1 above is also a good place to start. The Laanatza and Tripp articles provide an historical review. For contrasting perspectives on the effect of regional institutions on recent crises, compare Maddy-Weitzman with Ryan. For the material on 'proxy politics', the lectures draws upon texts from Gulf politics, but see also Lynch on the region-wide effects of a Qatari television station.

Paul Aarts, 'The Middle East: A region without regionalism or the end of exceptionalism?', *Third World Quarterly*, vol. 20/5 (1999), pp.911-925. **[OL]**

Marianne Laanatza, Helena Lindholm and Michael Schulz, 'Regionalization in the Middle East?', in Michael Schulz, Fredrik Söderbaum and Joakim Öjendal, eds., *Regionalization in a Globalizing World: A Comparative Perspective on Forms, Actors and Process* (London: Zed Books, 2001).

Charles Tripp, 'Regional organizations in the Arab Middle East', in Louise Fawcett and Andrew Hurrell, eds., *Regionalism in World Politics: Regional Organization and International Order* (Oxford University Press, 1995), pp.283-308.

Malik Dahlan, 'Rethinking regional organization in the Gulf and the Greater Middle East', in Michael Hudson and Mimi Kirk, eds, *Gulf Politics and Economics in a Changing World* (London: World Scientific Publishing, 2014)

Bruce Maddy-Weitzman, 'The Arab League comes alive', *Middle East Quarterly* vol. 19/3 (2012), pp.71-78 [OL], at: <http://www.meforum.org/meq/pdfs/3309.pdf>

Curtis Ryan, 'The new Arab Cold War and the struggle for Syria', *Middle East Report* 262 (2012) [OL], at: <http://www.merip.org/mer/mer262/new-arab-cold-war-struggle-syria>

Marc Lynch, *Voices of the New Arab Public: Iraq, al-Jazeera and Middle East Politics Today* (Columbia UP, 2006)

4.7 External powers in the Middle East, or Always declining, ever present

The last two lectures are future-looking. This one explores the extent to which the Middle East will remain a significant focus of global affairs over coming years. Ehteshami is the best place to begin, arguing that it is a region that has largely been left behind much of the rest of the world in economic and social development. Hinnebusch provides an associated structural perspective.

After that, the reading list divides up by foreign power. Most of it is about the United States. Oren and the pair of books by Khalidi historically situate the significance of the region in terms of US policy, in opposing ways. Walt/Meirsheimer and Spiegel, though now quite dated, provide different interpretations of how politics within the US has affected its Middle East policy. For recent accounts, there are opposing analyses from Gerges and Rangwala of the direction in which US policy went during the Obama administration. Due to the new US administration that will be coming into place earlier during this term, a few additional texts may be suggested dependent upon the outcome of the November US election. The Dodge/Hokayem collection has a useful piece by Allin (on the Obama administration), but is perhaps more useful for the articles by Noël (on oil interests) and Neill (on Chinese policy). The *Journal of European Integration* collection is on European responses to the Arab Uprisings, and the pieces by Noutcheva and Dandashly are perhaps most useful in there. Heisbourg looks at lasting effects of the 2015 refugee movements on European policy to the region. The last three articles give interestingly different readings of Russian policy in the region.

Anoushiravan Ehteshami, *Globalization and Geopolitics in the Middle East: Old Games, New Rules* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2007)

Raymond Hinnebusch, 'The Middle East in the world hierarchy: imperialism and resistance', *Journal of International Relations and Development*, vol. 14 (2011), via: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233578647_The_Middle_East_in_the_world_hierarchy_Imperialism_and_resistance [OL]

Michael Oren, *Power, Faith and Fantasy: America in the Middle East, 1776 to the Present* (New York: WW Norton, 2007)

- Rashid Khalidi, *Resurrecting Empire: Western Footprints and America's Perilous Path in the Middle East* (London: IB Tauris, 2004)
- Rashid Khalidi, *Sowing Crisis: The Cold War and American Dominance in the Middle East* (Boston: Beacon Press, 2010)
- Steven L. Spiegel, *The Other Arab-Israeli Conflict: Making America's Middle East Policy, from Truman to Reagan* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1985)
- John Mearsheimer and Stephen Walt, *The Israel Lobby and US Foreign Policy* (London: Allen Lane, 2007)
- Fawaz Gerges, 'The Obama approach to the Middle East: the end of America's moment?', *International Affairs*, vol. 89/2 (2013), pp.299-323 **[OL]**
- Glen Rangwala, 'Returning to the Middle East: the second term amidst the Arab Uprisings', *ISPI Analysis*, no.221 (2013) **[OL]**, at:
http://www.ispionline.it/sites/default/files/pubblicazioni/analysis_221_2013.pdf
- * Toby Dodge and Emile Hokayem, eds, *Middle Eastern Security, the US Pivot and the Rise of ISIS* (Routledge/IISS, December 2014), available on-line as Adelphi Series 54/447-448, at:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/tadl20/54/447-448> **[OL]**
- Journal of European Integration*, vol. 37/1 (2015), special issue, available at:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/geui20/37/1> **[OL]**
- François Heisbourg, 'The strategic implications of the Syrian refugee crisis', *Survival*, vol. 57/6 (Nov 2015), pp.7-20 **[OL]**
- * Roy Allison, 'Russia and Syria: explaining alignment with a regime in crisis', *International Affairs*, vol. 89 (2013), pp. 795–82. **[OL]**
- Pavel K. Baev, 'Russia as opportunist or spoiler in the Middle East?', *The International Spectator*, vol. 50/2 (2015), pp. 8-21. **[OL]**
- Hossein Aghaie Joobani and Mostafa Mousavipour 'Russia, Turkey and Iran: moving towards strategic synergy in the Middle East?', *Strategic Analysis*, vol. 39/2 (2015), pp. 141-155. **[OL]**

4.8 A democratic peace in the Middle East? Some concluding themes

The final lecture asks how changing structure of rule within Middle Eastern states would alter international dynamics. The relevant reading will be largely on the international consequences of the 2010-11 Arab uprisings. The topic serves as a way to tie issues of contemporary debate back to material taught throughout the course on the changing nature of governmental rule, the role of political Islam and sectarian affiliation, the Arab states system, and the mode of external mediation and intervention. As well as the articles listed below, see Maddy-Weitzman and Ryan listed with lecture 4.6 above, which can be compared with Carpenter (below).

- * Olivier Roy, *Jihad and Death: The Global Appeal of Islamic State* (London: Hurst, 2017)

* Jean- Paul Filiu, *From Deep State to Islamic State: The Arab Counter-Revolution and its Jihadi Legacy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015).

Raymond Hinnebusch, 'The Middle East in the world hierarchy: imperialism and resistance', *Journal of International Relations and Development*, 14 (2011), via:
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233578647_The_Middle_East_in_the_world_hierarchy_Imperialism_and_resistance

Paul Salem, 'The Middle East's troubled relationship with the liberal international order', *The International Spectator*, vol. 53/1 (2018) [OL]

Katerina Dalacoura, 'The 2011 uprisings in the Arab Middle East: political change and geopolitical implications', *International Affairs*, vol. 88/1 (2012), pp.63-79 [OL]

Bassel Salloukh, 'The Arab uprisings and the geopolitics of the Middle East', *The International Spectator*, vol. 48/2 (2013), pp.32-46 [OL]

Ariel I. Ahram & Ellen Lust, 'The decline and fall of the Arab state', *Survival*, 58/2 (April/May 2016), pp.7-34 [OL]

Crystal Ennis and Bessma Momani, 'Shaping the Middle East in the midst of the Arab Uprisings: Turkish and Saudi foreign policy strategies', *Third World Quarterly*, vol. 34/6 (2013), pp.1127-1144 [OL]

Nabeel Khoury, 'The Arab Cold War revisited: the regional impact of the Arab uprising', *Middle East Policy*, vol. 20/2 (2013), pp.73-87 [OL]

Ziya Öniş, 'Turkey and the Arab revolutions: boundaries of regional power influence in a turbulent Middle East', *Mediterranean Politics*, vol. 19/2 (2014), pp.203-219 [OL]

Raymond Hinnebusch, 'Change and continuity after the Arab Uprising: the consequences of state formation in Arab North African states', *British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, vol. 42/1 (2015), pp.12-30 [OL]

Ted Carpenter, 'Tangled web: the Syrian civil war and its implications', *Mediterranean Quarterly*, vol. 24/1 (2013), pp.1-11 [OL]

Suggested supervision questions:

- Is the Arab-Israeli conflict in essence no more than a dispute over the status of territory?
- Has US engagement taken the Israeli and Palestinian sides to the brink of peace, or has it reinforced the state of conflict?
- Do the foreign policies of Arab Gulf states amount to anything more than Western alliances and personal whims?
- Is Libya the victim of rivalry between other Middle Eastern states?
- Why has the Middle East seen little so lasting success in projects of regional union?
- Is the 'American moment' in the Middle East now over?
- Have the Arab uprisings from 2010 reinforced or disrupted international relationships of power in the Middle East?

5. The mini-subjects

A. Turkey: A 'model' or an exception?

Lectures (Ayşe Zarakol)

Note: Most of these lectures deal with recent or contemporary events. The reading list therefore consists mostly of news articles (as opposed to academic articles or books). The information they present may be incomplete and subject to revision (and in some cases polemical). Read widely to get a fuller picture. More readings may be added as events unfold; check online for the latest version of the reading list before you revise.

Readings are listed in chronological order to give you a sense of how writing about Turkey also evolved over the years. This will be most apparent with news and magazine articles. Read the most recent publications first, especially if you have limited time, but look over the entire reading list if you are revising.

5(a).1 Historical Background - The political development of modern Turkey

This lecture will locate Turkey within the modern international order and give a brief summary of Turkish history from the years of the Ottoman Empire to the 21st century.

Recommended Readings:

Hugh Poulton. 1997. *The Top Hat, the Grey Wolf, and the Crescent: Turkish Nationalism and the Turkish Republic*. NYU Press.

Andrew Mango. 2002. *Ataturk: The Biography of the founder of Modern Turkey*. Overlook TP.

Carter Vaughn Findley. 2010. *Turkey, Islam, Nationalism, and Modernity: A History, 1789-2007*. Yale University Press.

Ayşe Zarakol. 2011. *After Defeat: How the East Learned to Live with the West*. Cambridge University Press. [See the Introduction, Chapter One and Chapter Three especially].

Novels/Memoirs:

İrfan Orga. [1950] 2002. *Portrait of a Turkish Family*. Eland Publishing. [Memoir]

Orhan Pamuk. 2006. *Istanbul: Memories of a City*. Faber & Faber. [Memoir]

Ahmet Hamdi Tanpınar. [1954] 2014. *The Time Regulation Institute*. Penguin Classics. [Novel]

5(a).2 A 'Turkish Model'? The AKP Years

This lecture will explain the rise of Erdoğan and the AKP in the first decade of the 21st century and why the AKP enjoyed so much international support until 2013.

Recommended Readings:

Esra Özyürek. 2006. *Nostalgia for the Modern: State Secularism and Everyday Politics in Turkey*. Duke University Press.

Lerna Yanik. 2007. 'Beyond "Bridges," "Crossroads" and "Buffer Zones": Defining a New International Role for Turkey.' The Brookings Institution, Washington, DC.

http://www.brookings.edu/~media/events/2006/5/23turkey/20060523sabanci_1.pdf

- Henri Barkey. 2011. 'Turkish Foreign Policy and the Middle East.' CERl Strategy Papers No 10 (06 Juin). <http://ceri-sciences-po.org>.
- Jenny White. 2012. *Muslim Nationalism and the New Turks*. Princeton University Press.
- Ragan Updegraff. 2012. 'The Kurdish Question.' *Journal of Democracy*. 23.1: 119-128.
- Duncan McCargo and Ayse Zarakol. 2012. 'Turkey and Thailand: Unlikely Twins.' *Journal of Democracy*. 23.3: 71-79.
- Ayşe Zarakol. 2013. 'Revisiting Second Image Reversed: Lessons from Turkey and Thailand.' *International Studies Quarterly*. 50.1: 150-62.

Novels/Memoirs:

- Orhan Pamuk. 2004. *Snow*. Faber and Faber. [Novel]
- Alev Scott. 2014. *Turkish Awakening*. Faber and Faber. [Memoir/Journalism]
- Patrick Keddie. 2018. *The Passion: Football and the Story of Modern Turkey*. I.B. Tauris. [Journalism]

5(a).3 Erdoğanism and Its Discontents

This lecture will try to make sense of 'Erdoğanism': e.g. Erdoğan a populist? Why and when did he turn authoritarian? How should we characterise the current regime in Turkey? What does the opposition look like under such a regime? Does Erdoğan's loss in the 2019 municipal elections mean anything?

- Dexter Filkins. 2012. 'Letter from Turkey: The Deep State.' *The New Yorker*. March 12. http://www.newyorker.com/reporting/2012/03/12/120312fa_fact_filkins?currentPage=all
- Dexter Filkins. 2013. 'Will Turkey's Erdogan Cause His Own Downfall?' The New Yorker Daily Comment Blog. December 27. <http://www.newyorker.com/online/blogs/comment/2013/12/recep-tayyip-erdoan-demise.html>
- Cağlar Keyder. 2013. 'Law of the Father.' LRB Blog. 19 June. <http://www.lrb.co.uk/blog/2013/06/19/caglar-keyder/law-of-the-father/>
- Tariq Ali. 2013. 'In Ankara.' LRB Blog. 19 June. <http://www.lrb.co.uk/blog/2013/06/19/tariq-ali/in-ankara/>
- Jenna Krajeski. 2014. 'Grief and Outrage in Turkey.' The New Yorker Newsdesk Blog. March 14. <http://www.newyorker.com/online/blogs/newsdesk/2014/03/grief-and-outrage-in-turkey.html>
- Ayşe Zarakol. 2016. '[The Failed Coup Attempt in Turkey: What We Know So Far](#)', *PONARS Eurasia Policy Memo* No. 433, IERES, George Washington University.
- [Staff]. 2016. 'Erdogan's Putsch: Turkey's Post-Coup Slide into Dictatorship', *Der Spiegel*. July 22. <http://www.spiegel.de/international/world/coup-in-turkey-leads-to-erdogan-power-grab-a-1104261.html>
- Ayşe Zarakol. 2016. 'Turkey through the Looking Glass'. LRB blog. 3 August. <http://www.lrb.co.uk/blog/2016/08/03/ayse-zarakol/turkey-through-the-looking-glass/>
- Ayşe Zarakol. 2017. 'When Yes Means No,' LRB Blog, April 19. <https://www.lrb.co.uk/blog/2017/04/19/ayse-zarakol/when-yes-means-no/>
- Mehul Srivastava. 2017. 'Erdogan's blacklist: Voices of Turkey's purge,' *Financial Times*. May 4. <http://ig.ft.com/vj/turkey-purge-victims-voices/>

- Muratcan Sabuncu. 2017. 'My father and press freedom are on trial in Turkey – don't look away, Europe,' *The Guardian*. July 24.
<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/jul/24/my-father-press-freedom-on-trial-turkey-europe-notice-cumhuriyet>
- Pinar Tremblay. 2017. 'Turkey's pervasive president may be wearing out his welcome.' *Al-Monitor*. July 28. <http://www.al-monitor.com/pulse/originals/2017/07/turkey-why-erdogan-fatigue-deepens.html>
- Ella George. 2018. 'Purges and Paranoia.' *London Review of Books* 40.10: 22-32.
- Zeynep Gülşah Çapan and Ayşe Zarakol. 2019. 'Turkey's Ambivalent Self: Ontological Insecurity in "Kemalism" vs. "Erdoğanism"', *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*. Published Online First.
- Ayla Jean Yackley. 2019. 'The Triumphant New Face of Turkey's Resurgent Political Opposition'. *The New Yorker*. June 30. <https://www.newyorker.com/news/dispatch/the-triumphant-new-face-of-turkeys-resurgent-political-opposition>

Novels/Memoirs:

- Ahmet Ümit. 2014. *When Pera Trees Whisper*. Everest. [Murder Mystery]
- Suzy Hansen. 2018. *Notes on a Foreign Country: An American Abroad in a Post-American World*. Corsair. [Memoir]

5(a).4 "Who Lost Turkey?" & Turkish Foreign Policy in the 21st Century

In this lecture we will discuss where Turkey's headed, focusing also on Turkey's foreign policy, especially vis-à-vis the Middle East.

- Patrick Cockburn. 2014. "Whose side is Turkey on?" *London Review of Books*. 36.21 (6 November): 8-10. <http://www.lrb.co.uk/v36/n21/patrick-cockburn/whose-side-is-turkey-on>
- Bilgin Ayata. 2015. 'Turkish Foreign Policy in a Changing Arab World: Rise and Fall of a Regional Actor?' *Journal of European Integration*. 37.1: 95-112.
- Paul White. 2015. *The PKK: Coming Down from the Mountains*. London: Zed Books.
- Ahmet İçduygu. 2015. 'Syrian Refugees in Turkey: The Long Road Ahead'. Migration Policy Institute Report. April. <http://www.migrationpolicy.org/research/syrian-refugees-turkey-long-road-ahead>
- Hasnain Kazim. 2015. 'Erdogan's Challenger: The Man Who Could Save Turkish Democracy.' *SpiegelOnline*. June 1. <http://www.spiegel.de/international/world/how-selahattin-demirtas-became-a-rival-to-erdogan-in-turkey-a-1036595.html>
- Kamran Matin. 2015. 'Why is Turkey bombing the Kurds?' *openDemocracy* August 4
[\[https://www.opendemocracy.net/arab-awakening/kamran-matin/why-is-turkey-bombing-kurds\]](https://www.opendemocracy.net/arab-awakening/kamran-matin/why-is-turkey-bombing-kurds)
- Michael M. Gunter. 2015. 'Iraq, Syria, ISIS and the Kurds: Geostrategic Concerns for the U.S. and Turkey'. *Middle East Policy*. 22.1: 102-111.
- Hugh Roberts. 2015. 'The Hijackers.' *London Review of Books*. 37.14 (16 July): 5-10.
<http://www.lrb.co.uk/v37/n14/hugh-roberts/the-hijackers>
- Elliot Ackerman. 2015. 'Erdogan's ISIS Opportunism.' *The New Yorker*. August 12.
<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/erdogans-isis-opportunism>

- [Staff]. 2016. 'Disaster in the Making': The Many Failures of the EU-Turkey Refugee Deal'. *Der Spiegel*. May 26. <http://www.spiegel.de/international/europe/the-refugee-deal-between-the-eu-and-turkey-is-failing-a-1094339.html>
- Ayşe Zarakol. 2016. 'Assassination of an Ambassador'. LRB Blog, December 20. <http://www.lrb.co.uk/blog/2016/12/20/ayse-zarakol/assassination-of-an-ambassador/>
- Philip Oltermann. 2017. 'Berlin to change policy towards Turkey as German citizen is held'. *The Guardian*. July 20. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2017/jul/20/berlin-to-change-policy-towards-turkey-as-german-citizen-is-held>
- Fehim Taştekin. 2017. 'Turkey needs new Syria plan as CIA ends rebel support'. *Al-Monitor*. July 26. <http://www.al-monitor.com/pulse/originals/2017/07/turkey-syria-united-states-cia-withdrawal-from-field.html>
- Lisel Hintz. 2018. *Identity Politics Inside Out*. Oxford University Press.
- Nick Danforth. 2019. 'Why Turkey Does Not Trust the United States'. *Foreign Policy*. July 15. <https://foreignpolicy.com/2019/07/15/why-turkey-doesnt-trust-the-united-states/>
- Keith Johnson and Robbie Gramer. 2019. 'Who Lost Turkey?' *Foreign Policy*. July 19. <https://foreignpolicy.com/2019/07/19/who-lost-turkey-middle-east-s-400-missile-deal-russia-syria-iraq-kurdish-united-states-nato-alliance-partners-allies-adversaries/>

Suggested supervision questions:

Lectures 1 & 2

1. What were the main features of Kemalism as an ideology and how was this reflected in the state structure of the Turkish Republic?
2. What are some of the continuities between the Ottoman and Republican periods of Turkey?
3. What best explains AKP's electoral successes after 2001? What role did the international community play in consolidating Erdoğan's power?
4. What was the general attitude of the Turkish state towards ethnic and/or religious minorities in the twentieth century?

Lectures 3 & 4

1. When and why did Erdogan take a decisive turn towards authoritarianism? Is he a populist?
2. Does the opposition in Turkey stand a chance? Why or why not?
3. Given recent developments, will Turkey abandon its long-standing alliance with the West?
4. To what extent is the EU and/or the US to blame for Turkey's current state?

B. Yemen: crisis turns to conflict

Seminar (Glen Rangwala): Thursday 13th February, 3pm. Room SG2.

This seminar looks at the specific example of the Yemen conflict(s) within the hostile politics of the Gulf region. The literature below is divided between texts about regional politics around Yemen in the aftermath of the 2011-12 uprising, which focuses heavily on the efforts of Arab Gulf states to constitute a new government; and those on the politics of the Saudi-led military effort to defeat the Houthi movement which has controlled the capital city and much of the western part of the country since 2014.

For the first of those, Phillips is a useful starting point because it embeds Yemen's politics closely in international dynamics. On the 2011-12 revolt and stalled transition, the short pieces by Durac, Alley, Transfeld (2014; with Hill/Nonneman as a contrast) and Knights are all informative on different themes and are worth reading, perhaps in that order. On the post-2015 conflict, Transfeld (2016) locates the breakdown of political order within elite Yemeni politics, while Hokayem/Roberts look at GCC decision-making. Hill's narrative account is readable: chapters 14 and 15 are most relevant here. The two pieces by Sons/Matthiesen and Aryani look critically at Saudi Arabia's role. Hellmich is on what may be dubbed Yemen's other war – though the connection between them is worth considering. The short articles by Clausen, Byman, Perkins and Orkaby all give recent accounts of the post-2015 conflict. The southern secessionist movement has also been growing in influence and control, and this is discussed by Salisbury. The seminar will give the historical background on Yemen, but the enthusiastic may also want to look at Day.

* Sarah Phillips, *Yemen and the Politics of Permanent Crisis* (Abingdon: Routledge/IISS, 2011)

Vincent Durac, 'Yemen's Arab Spring – democratic opening or regime maintenance?', *Mediterranean Politics* vol. 17/2 (2012), pp.161-178

April Alley, 'Yemen changes everything ... and nothing', *Journal of Democracy*, vol. 24/4 (2013), pp.74-85.

Mareike Transfeld, 'Yemen: GCC Roadmap to Nowhere', *Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik Comment* 20 (2014), via:
http://www.swp-berlin.org/fileadmin/contents/products/comments/2014C20_tfd.pdf

Michael Knights, 'The military role in Yemen's protests: civil-military relations in the tribal republic', *Journal of Strategic Studies*, vol. 36/2 (2013), pp.261-288

Ginny Hill and Gerd Nonneman, 'Yemen, Saudi Arabia and the Gulf States: elite politics, street protests and regional diplomacy', Chatham House briefing paper (May 2011), at:
http://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/files/chathamhouse/public/Research/Middle%20East/0511yemen_gulfbp.pdf

Mareike Transfeld, 'Political bargaining and violent conflict: shifting elite alliances as the decisive factor in Yemen's transformation', *Mediterranean Politics*, vol. 21/1 (2016), pp.150-169

Emile Hokayem and David Roberts, 'The war in Yemen', *Survival*, vol. 58/6 (2016), 157-186

Ginny Hill, *Yemen Endures: Civil War, Saudi Adventurism and the Future of Arabia* (Hurst, 2017)

Sebastian Sons and Toby Matthiesen, 'The Yemen war in Saudi media' (2016), Muftah.org, via:
https://muftah.org/yemen-war-saudi-media/#.WTe_AGjyuUl

* Lara Aryani, 'Saudi Arabia and the War of Legitimacy in Yemen', *Jadaliyya*, 2 May 2015, at:
<http://www.jadaliyya.com/pages/index/21538/saudi-arabia-and-the-war-of-legitimacy-in-yemen>

Christina Hellmich, 'Fighting Al Qaeda in Yemen? Rethinking the nature of the Islamist threat and the effectiveness of U.S. counterterrorism strategy', *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, vol. 35/9 (2012), pp. 618-633

Stephen W. Day, *Regionalism and Rebellion in Yemen: a Troubled National Union* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012)

Maria-Louise Clausen, 'Competing for Control over the State: The Case of Yemen', *Small Wars & Insurgencies*, vol.29/3 (2018), pp.560-578

Daniel Byman, 'Yemen's Disastrous War', *Survival*, vol.60/5 (2018), pp.141-158

Brian M. Perkins, 'Yemen: Between Revolution and Regression', *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, vol.40/4 (2017), pp.300-317

Asher Orkaby, 'Yemen's humanitarian nightmare: The real roots of the conflict', *Foreign Affairs*, vol.96/6 (2017), pp.93-101

Peter Salisbury, 'Yemen's southern powder keg', Chatham House (March 2018):

Suggested supervision question:

Why has the conflict in Yemen persisted, when there seems to be no prospect of any side emerging victorious?

C. Politics of/and the everyday

Seminar (José Ciro Martínez): Thursday 20th February, 3pm. Room SG2.

How do ordinary people respond to repressive conditions? In what ways is meaningful change made through seemingly unexceptional acts? We will examine how scholars working on the Middle East have conceptualized the realm of the ordinary—everyday life. The seminar will consider how and whether seemingly mundane actions can make meaningful change by focusing on activities and undertakings of citizens in times of constraint. By considering the relationship of politics to the everyday, the seminar seeks to hone in on the often neglected ways in which citizens of the Middle East navigate the strictures of authoritarian rule.

Asef Bayat, *Life as Politics: How Ordinary People Change the Middle East* (Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, 2010) [OL]

*Asef Bayat. "From Dangerous Classes' to Quiet Rebels' Politics of the Urban Subaltern in the Global South." *International Sociology* 15.3 (2000): 533-557.

*Salwa Ismail. "Urban Subalterns in the Arab revolutions: Cairo and Damascus in Comparative Perspective." *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 55.4 (2013): 865-894.

* Salwa Ismail. "Authoritarian Government, Neoliberalism and Everyday Civilities in Egypt." *Third World Quarterly* 32.5 (2011): 845-862.

*Lila Abu-Lughod. "The Romance of Resistance: Tracing Transformations of Power through Bedouin women." *American Ethnologist* 17.1 (1990): 41-55.

* José Ciro Martínez. "Site of Resistance or Apparatus of Acquiescence? Tactics at the Bakery." *Middle East Law and Governance* 10.2 (2018): 160-184.

James C. Scott, *Weapons of the Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance*. (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2008).

Lynn A. Staeheli, et al. "Dreaming the ordinary: Daily life and the complex geographies of citizenship." *Progress in Human Geography* 36.5 (2012): 628-644.

Suggested supervision question:

1. To what extent is everyday life a platform for politics in the Middle East?
2. How do forms of authoritarian government and rule deployed by Middle Eastern states shape modes of oppositional action?
3. Must oppositional politics or resistance come in the form of a social movement in the Middle East?

6. Examination

6.1 Mock exam paper

The mock paper is structured in a format that will apply with the 2020 exam. There are four questions on the first thematic section of the paper (Middle Eastern politics), three on the International Relations section, and two on the Islamism section. There is one question that can be answered from a variety of perspectives; and one question on each of the three mini-subjects. The exam paper will be undivided, but there is a restriction on answering a maximum of one question from the mini-subjects.

POL12: The Politics of the Middle East

Candidates should answer three questions. You may not answer more than one question out of 11, 12 and 13.

1. Is nationalism in the Middle East primarily explained as a reaction to imperial rule?
2. Has authoritarianism survived in the Middle East by learning how to be flexible?
3. Are the economies of Arab states stuck in a rut of rent-seeking and clientelism?
4. How is citizenship in Middle Eastern states gendered?
5. Is the Arab-Israeli conflict a clash of incompatible nationalisms?
6. Why has politics in the Gulf region become increasingly conflictual over the course of the late 2010s?
7. To what extent are key decisions about the politics of the Middle East taken by agents from outside that region?
8. Is political Islam a project of Islamic apology, or Muslim 'self-strengthening'? Discuss with reference to 2 key thinkers.
9. To what extent is Islam a tool of state legitimacy?
10. To what extent have the 'Arab uprisings' from 2010 reshaped the politics of the Middle East?
11. How do Turkey's domestic dynamics influence its foreign policy towards the Middle East and vice versa?
12. What is missed if the conflict in Yemen is conceived of in sectarian terms?
13. In what ways do mass mobilization and everyday resistance differ as modes of political action in the Middle East?

6.2 Past exam papers and reports

The past four exam papers are below; this paper was first taught in 2015. They had significantly differently content from this year's version (in particular, qq.4, 11 and 13 of the 2019 paper; q.11, 12 and 14 of the 2018 paper, qq.6-8 in the 2016 and 2017 papers, and qq.5-7 in the 2015 paper relate to content that has not been part of this year's course). While the mock paper, above, remains the most definitive guide to the structure of the 2020 exam paper, the questions in the past papers may also be helpful for revision practice.

2019.

1. Is the propensity towards unaccountable government in the Middle East a colonial legacy?
2. Is all politics in the Middle East closely connected with issues of identity?
3. How significant has activism by Middle Eastern women been in reforming gender relations within their societies?
4. How have minorities in Middle Eastern states responded to the prospect of persecution or discrimination?
5. Why have attempts to negotiate a solution to the Israeli - Palestinian conflict failed?
6. Do members of the Gulf Cooperation Council need a common enemy in order to work together?
7. To what extent and why have all recent US military interventions in the Middle East been unsuccessful?
8. Have projects of Islamic revivalism necessarily involved confrontation with prevailing power structures?
9. Does contemporary jihadism originate in the writings of Islamist political thinkers?
10. What are the political consequences of the high levels of economic inequality between Middle Eastern states?
11. Do Iraq's experiences since 2003 demonstrate that democracy without a functioning state has no value?
12. What does the study of the politics of everyday life reveal about authoritarianism in the Middle East?
13. What general lessons should we draw from the 2010-11 Arab Uprisings for the study of social movements?

2018.

1. Is the legacy of European rule in the Middle East more one of ideas than of institutions?
2. What, if anything, remains of Arab nationalism?
3. Why are there so few liberal market economies in the Middle East?
4. How has authoritarianism within the Middle East shaped the context for struggles for gender equality?
5. Does international political involvement in the conflict between Israel and its neighbours restrain local animosities or exacerbate them?
6. What explains the breakdown in relations between many of the states of the Arabian Peninsula from 2015?
7. Does it make any sense to characterise US relations with Middle Eastern states as a form of imperialism?
8. Do groups like al-Qa'ida and the self-styled Islamic State draw upon an older strain of militant Islam to mobilise their supporters?
9. Is modern Shi'a Islamism inextricably bound up with the ideas and policies of the Iranian government?
10. Do the North African states share a distinctive form of politics with the other parts of the Middle East?
11. **EITHER (a):** Does Turkey's policy on the Syrian war represent a fundamental discontinuity with its earlier approach to foreign affairs?
OR (b): Is Recep Tayyip Erdoğan a new type of leader for Turkey?
12. What social or political processes have driven the 'sectarianisation' of conflict within the Middle East?
13. What effect has the refugee crisis since c.2015 had on the politics of the Middle East?
14. Have parties with their origins in political Islam had a distinctive effect when they have taken a role in governance within the Arab Middle East?

2017.

1. To what extent are the varying characteristics of Middle Eastern states today attributable to the different circumstances of their creation?
2. Does the plurality of identities within most Middle Eastern states serve as an impediment to democratisation?
3. What are the political consequences of the state's domination of the economy in most Middle Eastern countries?
4. What explains the vulnerability of many ethnic and religious minorities within the Middle East to persecution?
5. Are Middle Eastern states distinctively 'masculine'?
6. To what extent can state legislation deliver the shari'a?
7. Has the ambiguity of the Qur'an been more an asset or a liability for Muslim politics?
8. What factors explain the impetus for projects of reform of Islamic law in Muslim states, and what explains their success or failure?
9. Why does the Israeli-Palestinian conflict draw so much political attention from outside the Middle East?
10. What explains the stridency of expressions of mutual hostility across the Persian Gulf over recent years?
11. To what extent do Middle Eastern states look to the United States for regional leadership, and what are the prospects for change in this regard?
12. Does competition or cooperation prevail in relations between Arab states today?
13. Is today's sectarianism in the Middle East no more than an uninhibited expression of pre-existing divisions?
14. In what ways, if any, is the current Turkish regime fundamentally different from its predecessors since 1923?
15. Is the self-styled 'Islamic State' group the intellectual and political legacy of the Muslim Brotherhood?

2016.

1. What consequences did the unresolved legacies of colonialism have for the politics of the modern Middle East?
2. Is political Islam to be understood as a response to the failure of nationalist projects in the Arab Middle East?
3. Have the Arab uprisings from 2010 set back the course of democratisation in most of the region?
4. How useful is the concept of rentierism for explaining the political characteristics of oil-rich Middle Eastern states?
5. What explains the different approaches taken by Middle Eastern states in upholding or challenging discrimination? Answer with respect to EITHER the politics of gender OR the status of ethno-religious minorities.
6. Can the shari'ah be codified? What might be lost, or gained, in the process?
7. What explains the diversity of approaches to the implementation of the idea of an Islamic state, in the contemporary period or the past?
8. To what extent, and in what way, do Islamic institutions check the power of the state?

9. In what respects do the understandings of the past held differently by Palestinians and Israelis serve to perpetuate the conflict between them?
10. Does hostility across the Persian Gulf come primarily from domestic politics or geostrategic ambitions? Answer with respect to one or more countries.
11. In accounting for the reasons for the close involvement of major external powers in the Middle East, what if anything do explanations that focus solely on the role of oil interests miss?
12. How is the mass movement of refugees from, within and through the Middle East reshaping the politics of the region?
13. EITHER: Was Erdoğan's authoritarian turn inevitable?
OR: What accounts for the failure of the Kurdish peace process, and what is the effect of this failure on Turkish foreign policy?
14. Are the reasons for the prominence of sectarian divisions in the Syrian conflict from 2011 similar to those in the Iraq conflict after 2003?

2015.

1. To what extent did France and Britain create the modern Middle East?
2. Does the Middle East show any sign of taking a 'post-Islamist' turn?
3. Does democratisation imperil ethnic and religious minorities in Arab countries?
4. Do Arab countries share common themes in their gender politics?
5. How should calls for the application of shari'ah in the Muslim World today be understood?
6. How do Islamic institutions mediate between the Muslim and the state?
7. Do Islam and authoritarianism go hand in hand?
8. How does domestic US politics affect the Arab-Israeli conflict? Answer with respect to *either* the origins of the 1967 war in the Middle East, *or* the Oslo process from 1993.
9. Has the security system in the Persian Gulf region moved decisively under the control of local states?
10. Do the Arab Uprisings from 2010 demonstrate that the idea of an 'Arab states system' is still useful?
11. Why has the programme of economic liberalisation faltered in many Middle Eastern countries?
12. Was Iran's 'Green Movement' opposing a leader or a system?
13. Have the processes of state-building and nation-building taken very different paths in the Middle East? Answer with respect to *either* the Palestinians *or* the Kurds.
14. What lessons can be drawn for other contexts from Turkey's political trajectory about *either* (a) how to reconcile Islam and modern politics, *or* (b) the role of a strong state as an obstacle or a boon to democracy?
15. Have the experiences of Iraq since 2003 and Syria since 2011 demonstrated that there is a 'Shi'a crescent' after all?

Examiner's report 2019

There were 19 candidates who took this paper by examination, and 16 by long essay. Out of the candidates taking the paper by examination, five candidates received first class marks, one candidate received a 2.2, and the other candidates received marks of 2.1.

There were some very good scripts. Many candidates were good at developing their own arguments, and providing evidence for the claims they were making. We were impressed by the range of examples in many exam scripts. The stronger scripts took time to justify their choice of factors/cases. Some

questions were more popular than others, with ten candidates answering a question on the Gulf Cooperation Council (Q6) and ten candidates answering a question on the state and democracy in Iraq (Q11). All other questions were attempted by at least two candidates, except Q4, which was not chosen by any candidate.

Weaker answers received low 2.1 or 2.2 scores. Often these did not develop a clear argument, or did not use examples to support the main points being made. Sometimes these answers were imprecise or contained material that was superfluous or incorrect. Weaker answers showed an over-reliance on sweeping claims about the international environment (US does or does not want to engage, Russia as a spoiler), taken as self-evident, while avoiding engagement with domestic or regional factors.

The best scripts were notable for their ability to use examples effectively, for their range of references and for their ability to provide critical reflection on aspects of the politics of the Middle East.

Examiner's report 2018

POL12 this year featured 23 students who took the paper by examination and 8 who took it by long essays. Six students overall received a mark of 70 or above, and only one (taking the paper by long essays) received a mark lower than 59. That leaves 24 who received a mark in the 60-69 range, 14 of whom were in the 65-69 range. Put differently, almost two-thirds of students (20/31) received an overall mark of 65 or above.

This mark distribution reflects how essays and exams were generally of a quite high standard this year, more so than in previous years. The large majority of students wrote accurate, well-focused essays that engaged suitably with the academic literature and constructed a coherent argument.

With the assessed essays, the best essays were those which brought out a range of themes and theories, and demonstrated good knowledge of broader debates about the politics of the Middle East – but which then found a way to focus their essays on a particular situation, era or contrast. The less successful essays either kept too narrowly to specific descriptive accounts without framing it within a broader conception of what the question was asking; or, for other essays, didn't find a clear focus for the discussion, for example by not conveying a clear enough idea of what the question was asking. In 2018-19, the questions will be phrased more broadly than they were this year, so the first issue is less likely to arise, but the second issue becomes even more pertinent to consider.

For the exams, it was striking that students wrote with greater authority, subtlety and sense of judgement when they were addressing events and processes in the twentieth century than when they were writing about contemporary issues. This no doubt reflects the academic literature, for which there is an accumulated sense of debate for issues that are now older. But it does entail that students who choose to write about contemporary affairs – as we hope they do – should be looking more to develop a more rounded, multi-faceted appreciation of current debates, rather than accepting any one interpretation as valid. It was striking how students who wrote about imperial legacies and the force of Arab nationalism drew upon multiple sources, ideas, interpretations and theories, and formulated their own distinctive judgements on the back of them; while those who wrote about post-2015 refugees in the Middle East simply regurgitated the substance of two or three articles.

In terms of subject matter of exam answers, the most popular questions were on the drivers of sectarianism (q.12, 10 responses), the legacy of European rule (q.1, 9 responses) and Gulf crises (q.6, 8 responses). It was disappointing that only one student took the question on gender struggles, although that student did it well: very few other exam essays brought in issues related explicitly to the politics of gender.

Those points aside, most students taking this paper by examination this year have a well-developed sense of how to write a good essay – and equally the pitfalls to avoid. Few students wrote descriptive narratives instead of structured arguments, for example. And the best scripts were able to develop critical insights into influential academic texts while not losing sight of the need to develop a coherent, sustained argument of their own. The large majority of scripts were able to relate general arguments well to specific national and regional contexts. Many of the more common problems in previous years weren't apparent in this set of scripts, and future students may want to look back at earlier examiners' reports to have a sense of the ways in which their less illustrious predecessors have sometimes struggled with the POL12 exam.

Examiners' report 2017

This year's paper had 36 students. Six of the exam candidates received an average mark of 70+ from both examiners, 23 received an average mark in the 60-69 range, and seven received an average mark in the 50-59 range. No-one received an overall mark lower than 52.

There was a fairly heavy bunching of answers in response to the questions on sectarianism (21 answers), the creation of states in the Middle East (17 answers) and international attention on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict (16 answers). The questions about pluralism in democratisation, US regional leadership and the legacies of the Muslim Brotherhood each drew 7-10 responses, and all remaining questions received 5 or fewer responses. For a course that is taught broadly about the region, and which is designed to stimulate a range of new interests among its students, this bunching was surprising and somewhat disappointing for the examiners.

The relatively low number of firsts – lower as a proportion than in previous years – was primarily a consequence of a large number of candidates not structuring their essays around answering the question. The better answers devised an essay framework that was centrally about the question, and deployed suitable empirical material as part of the process of reasoning towards a conclusion. By contrast, too many students wrote long narratives or explanations that were not directly relevant to the question.

An example is question 1, for which many students wrote a general history of the formation of states in the Middle East, without attention to differences in the circumstances of their creation (which is the starting point for the question) and often with only a few words in the conclusion about how this does or does not explain their varying characteristics today (which is the central issue at stake in it). It would have been much better to think first about what those relevant 'varying characteristics' today are, and then to structure an essay around how state-formation can or cannot explain them.

In general, there were too many students who resorted to long historical narratives which didn't have an underlying argument. These generally began with something along the lines of 'To understand Topic X, we must first appreciate the historical background...', thus beginning a simple

chronologically-plotted account which in many cases accounted for over half the essay. The Israeli-Palestinian question (q.9), for instance, drew a number of general histories of the conflict, going back to the 19th Century, even though the question was about the international attention paid to it. These essays were mostly taken as unfocused, and therefore not eligible to receive a mark higher than 59 according to the marking criteria.

It was also rather curious to see many students seem to have learned lots of data – such as percentages who affirmed something in an opinion poll, or where a particular country stands in some league tables (which, incidentally, are of dubious intellectual value). In a number of essays, the structure seemed to have been designed to take us to this factoid, even though its relevance to the question was marginal at best. This is a poor trade-off: the deployment of knowledge only contributes to the essay if it is relevant to the question.

The sectarianism question generally drew essays that were relevant to answering the question, but a number of students took it to demand a critique of ‘primordialism’ rather than explore whether any sectarian identity existed before the current conflicts in Syria and Iraq. A false contrast was created between those who see an unchanging division from the schism in the 7th Century to the present (a perspective that was readily dismissed) and those who look solely at elite manipulation during the current conflicts.

As always, the best essays didn’t stick to some narrow formula for essay writing, but tackled the topic in a focused and often innovative way – aware of the arguments in the literature, but able to think independently of them. Many also put to good use specific and detailed examples of places, periods or political movements. The examiners weren’t reluctant to give marks of 80+ to such essays. They would have happily given more if a greater number of students had been willing to be more adventurous in their essays.

Examiners’ report 2016

34 students took this paper, and the quality was generally encouraging. Six students received average marks of 70 or more, and a further ten students received average marks of 65-69. Five students were in the 55-59 range, but no-one was below it. All the questions on the exam paper were taken by at least two students. The most popular questions were on sectarianism (q.14, 20 answers) and the politics of the Persian Gulf (q.10, 10 answers).

The best essays, as always, retained a close focus on the question while demonstrating awareness of a broad range of relevant arguments. The less successful answers, by contrast, were let down by the mismatch between the topic of the question and their actual discussion. An example of this is the question on how Israelis’ and Palestinians’ understandings of the past served to perpetuate the conflict (q.9). This was a difficult question, but those candidates who thought seriously about what sorts of arguments could be made for how the historical understandings of the participants informed their conflictual actions were rewarded with some of the highest marks awarded for single essays this year. By contrast, those who provided simple descriptive narratives of the modern history of the conflict without regard for what the question was asking received some of the lowest marks. A number of students answered the question as if it were asking ‘to what extent do...’, weighing its influence up against other factors, but the question was ‘in what ways do..’, which requires a

different sort of answer. It has to be assumed that the two students who just wrote about how territorial ambitions perpetuate the conflict, the subject of the supervision question during the year, didn't know anything about the conflict aside from their own supervision essays, and were marked down accordingly.

This year most students demonstrated good knowledge of the range of arguments made by relevant authors. The question on the codification of the shari'ah (q.6) prompted most students who answered that question to reflect closely and carefully on the extent to which arguments from authors such as Vikor and Hallaq worked, identifying what they could be seen to have missed, and comparing their approaches. Some questions, such as this, can be usefully answered through engaging in careful critical analysis of key texts, as it leads to a nuanced answer to the exam question.

Other questions prompted essays which combined textual analysis with the deployment of detailed empirical examples. The question on the usefulness of the concept of rentierism (q.4) required an explanation of that concept, which most students taking the question were able to provide; the distinguishing factor from there was how closely the conceptual account could be made to fit with examples. In this, a pleasing number of students were able to convey substantive information accurately about a range of cases, from Algeria to Kuwait, in order to interrogate the concept.

There were two specific issues that problematised a number of essays this year. The first was that three questions specifically asked for a comparative understanding: qq.5 and 7, which asked candidates to explain the diversity of approaches to discrimination and the implementation of the idea of an Islamic state respectively; and q.14, which asked for a comparison between the reasons for sectarian polarisation in Syria and Iraq. Many students answering these questions were able to make comparisons, but a significant minority only discussed one case at length, typically Tunisia for the sub-question on gender discrimination, and Iraq for the question on sectarianism. Clearly comparison between cases is not possible if candidates only know about and discuss one case.

The second issue comes from candidates avoiding key terms in the question. The question on the effect of the 'unresolved legacies of colonialism' (q.1) does require answers that impute meaning to that term: there isn't a single answer to what those 'unresolved legacies' might be, but essays which just wrote generally on the effects of colonialism in the region were missing a trick. Similarly, those who wrote on 'Islamic institutions' (q.8) without providing a clear understanding of what was meant by that term retained a level of ambiguity that hindered their clarity.

One pleasing aspect of this year's scripts was the extent to which students were able to relate established debates to contemporary events. An example of this was the ways in which the question on hostility across the Persian Gulf (q.10) was answered by using contending approaches to understanding Iranian foreign policy in order to discuss the Vienna deal of July 2015. The number of students who used recent examples, and did so usually well, provides encouragement to the course's aspiration that a historically and theoretically grounded approach can usefully inform our understanding of the contemporary Middle East.

Examiners' report 2015

This was the first year of the Middle East paper, and it drew a good number of students. 26 students took the exam, and a further 2 students took the paper by long essay.

In general, the quality of exam scripts and long essays was high: there were quite a few scripts demonstrating an outstanding level of understanding, and two thoroughly researched and innovative long essays. Three scripts were judged by the both examiners to fall below the 2.1 standard, but there were none below the 2.2 level.

The best scripts and long essays for this paper drew upon detailed knowledge to make their arguments, whilst recognising and evaluating critically contrasting arguments. The very best ranged across material from the different reading lists within the same essay, demonstrating independent thinking and well-structured writing intentions.

The most significant limitations were as follows. First, quite a few exam essays did not demonstrate a good understanding of key concepts in the questions (eg 'post-Islamist', 'Arab states system'): this indicates a limited extent of careful reading. Secondly, a few students adopted a strategy of giving a straightforward answer to the question in the opening section of the essay, and filling the rest of the essay with factual information to back up their starting assertion. Even if the information is entirely correct, this is an approach that cannot reach a 2.1 standard: it's not making an argument. It'd be much better to recognise different real or plausible potential answers, and evaluate between them. Thirdly, there was a surprisingly large number of basic factual inaccuracies, often quite trivial in form (such as in stating the years of particular events), but which cumulatively within the same script led to a sense of carelessness.

In general, most students showed they could combine broader thematic analysis with attention to the politics of particular places. It was good to see that the empirical material drawn upon by students ranged across the region, from detailed discussions of the place of Islam in Morocco through to engaging accounts of gender politics in Oman. There was useful attention to the particularities of politics in Iran, Turkey and Israel, alongside the more 'standard' reference points in Middle Eastern studies (Egypt, Jordan, Syria and Iraq). It was particularly encouraging to read close, critical engagement with the two case studies of Turkey and the 'new sectarianism'. One pleasing aspect of the exam scripts was that all the questions were attempted by at least one student, and no question received more than ten responses: one hopes that this reflects the diversity of interests and approaches that the course was intending to stimulate.

7. Other approaches to understanding Middle Eastern politics

In order to develop a more rounded understanding of Middle Eastern politics, going beyond traditional academic sources is necessary. This section provides a few suggestions of websites, literary fiction and cinema that will help fill out your thinking. Tastes and inclinations vary, and there is no claim to exhaustiveness in the lists below; they do however provide some potential starting points for those beginning to engage with these topics. Suggestions of items to add to any of these lists would always be appreciated.

7.1 Websites

Aside from the standard Anglo-American news sources, you may want to dip in to some of the following on-line sources:

www.merip.org

- The Middle East Research and Information Project (MERIP) regularly produces short online articles on its website from leading scholars on contemporary problems or issues, alongside its established academic journal, *Middle East Report*. Although it doesn't try to be a systematic source of information, these interventions are worth reading for the new insights and attention they give on particular themes, usually those which are underreported. It tends to have a critical approach to Western policy in the region.

www.aljazeera.com/news/middleeast/

- Qatar-based al-Jazeera news has a slick English-language news website that has a level of detail in promptly reporting on Middle Eastern politics that others lack. The video and documentary content is also generally of high quality. It has though increasingly come to follow a Western news agenda that limits its distinctiveness.

www.al-monitor.com

- Al-Monitor is a news website, drawing largely upon local journalists in the Middle East to provide more detailed coverage of and commentary on contemporary stories. Quality is variable, but the range of issues and perspectives and the promptness of the reporting makes this source often particularly useful.

www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/middle-east-north-africa.aspx

- The International Crisis Group (ICG) produces well-researched and often quite original reports on contemporary problem-areas. It always makes policy prescriptions, and so the style of writing isn't really appropriate for follow for this paper. Try also not to approach the region simply as an arena for various 'crises'. Nevertheless, the reports on the Middle East are usually well worth reading. The ICG takes a liberal interventionist approach to most issues.

www.project-syndicate.org/world-affairs

- Hosts commentary pieces from journalists, politicians and analysts around the world. It is not specific to the Middle East, but it has articles taken (and translated) from a fairly significant number of Middle Eastern publications.

www.arabist.net

- The consistently engaging and sometimes quite offbeat blog run by Cairo-based Issandr El Amrani.

The entries are mostly on Egyptian politics, but the blog also contains lots of helpful links to other articles on the wider politics of the region.

www.Jadaliyya.com

- This is the e-zine of the Washington-based Arab Studies Institute. At its best, it hosts engaging reflections from scholars on contemporary developments in the Arab world. At its worst, it produces turgid and posturing social theory.

7.2 Literary fiction (either in English translation or originally in English)

For those interested in the modern classics of Middle Eastern literature, attention will readily be drawn to Naguib Mahfouz' monumental *Cairo Trilogy* (1956-57), Ghassan Kanafani's story of Palestinian refugee life, *Men in the Sun* (1962), Emile Habibi's darkly comic *Secret Life of Saeed, The Pessoptimist* (1974), Amos Oz' mysterious tales of memory and disillusion in Israel, *My Michael* (1968) and *A Perfect Peace* (1982), and Elias Khoury's fractured story of Beirut during the Lebanese civil war, *Little Mountain* (1977). The list below is not of such 'classics', but of more recent and perhaps idiosyncratic works that bring out themes, debates or struggles in contemporary political life in the region.

- Hassan Blasim, *The Iraqi Christ* (2013). As a series of short – some very short – stories, this is a great starting point for thinking about how literary responses to war can inform one's understanding of politics. Some of the stories are shockingly macabre.

- Jokha al-Harhi, *Celestial Bodies* (2018). A beguiling account of an Omani family, bringing out well the disruptions, jealousies and miscomprehensions that surround the transformation of life amidst the country's new wealth over the past 50 years.

- Basma Abdel Aziz, *The Queue* (2016). A jarring but engaging account of living under the uncomprehending and often incomprehensible demands of a malign authoritarian regime. Set in a barely disguised Egypt.

- Kanan Makiya, *The Rope* (2016). A rather lightly fictionalised account of the experience of a Shi'a militiaman in Najaf, through which to tell the account of the early years of the US occupation of Iraq. Makiya uses the narrator to ventriloquise his own political views, but still the novel has its value.

- Abdo Khal, *Throwing Sparks* (2009). A powerful sustained account of living in the shadow of ruthless personalised (but also, illuminatingly, anonymous) authority, in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

- Orly Castel-Bloom, *Human Parts* (2002). Perhaps the most realistic of Castel-Bloom's works, it engages with how Israelis understand and respond to 'violence'. The early *Dolly City* (1992), set in a lightly fictionalised Tel Aviv, portrayed as gruesome and nihilistic, may also be of interest.

- Susan Abulhawa, *The Blue Between Sky and Water* (2015). Of the many Palestinians in exile who write stories about returning to Palestine, this is perhaps the best contemporary example: an American-born girl returning to live in the Gaza Strip with her family, and so opening up the character of the place.

- Khairy Shalaby, *The Lodging House* (2003). Set within the Egyptian urban underclass, seen through the eyes of a student drop-out, the book engages with the multiple stories and struggles that rarely capture wider attention. The later novel, *The Time-Travels of the Man who Sold Pickles and Sweets*, is uproarious funny, albeit less obviously politically relevant.

- Hanan al-Shaykh, *I Sweep the Sun off Rooftops* (1998). Perhaps the most widely read female Arab author in English, this is a diverse collection of 17 stories set around the region and in diasporas. The novels are more popular and may also be of interest.

- Elif Shafak, *The Architect's Apprentice* (2014). Although it's set in 16th Century Istanbul, and so doesn't quite match the objective of engaging you with contemporary politics, the novel brings out a resilient sense of the diversity, social divisions and self-conceptions of the Ottoman Empire, for those drawn to sweeping historical epics.

- Alaa al-Aswany, *The Yacoubian Building* (2002). One of the most highly regarded novels of recent years, it is the story of the residents of one apartment bloc in central Cairo, drawing in the many sides of the modern city.

- Rajaa Alsanea, *Girls of Riyadh* (2007). Saudi chick-lit, who would have thought it? Written as email exchanges between youngsters living with and evading tradition. Not everyone's cup of tea.

- Halim Barakat, *The Crane* (2008). Fictionalised nostalgia for village life in mid-century Syria, coupled with reflections on later dislocation and marginalisation. Short and graceful, if rather sentimental.

7.3 Films

These are listed with a few suggestions per country. The films listed are not necessarily the best representatives of national cinematic traditions, but are on here because of the ways in which they link up with political themes in the course (and because subtitled versions are readily available).

Egypt: *Terrorism and Kebab* (1992) engages comically with themes of corruption, bureaucracy and inadvertent violence. *The Yacoubian Building* (2006) is a film of the book mentioned above.

Iran: *Offside* (2006) is a comic take on Iran's gender restrictions. *Secret Ballot* (2001) is an engaging and surreal if slowly-paced story of an election day that explores the ways in which different understandings of Iran's politics are brought out. *Persepolis* (2007), based on the graphic novel of Marjane Satrapi, was widely acclaimed; quite a bit of it is about life in exile, rather than Iran itself.

Palestine: *Divine Intervention* (2002) is a dark comedy set mostly in Nazareth; as a series of sketches, it works like a short story collection. *The Time that Remains* (2009), also directed by Elia Suleiman, charts an eventful life across four episodes.

Syria: *The Night* (1993), a complex epic spanning three generations of a family from the Golan Heights.

Israel: *Waltz with Bashir* (2008) revolves around an Israeli soldier's memories of the Lebanon war. *Lemon Tree* (2008) uses the struggle between two neighbours – the family of an Israeli defence minister and a Palestinian widow – to illustrate how interpersonal and political connections interact.

Turkey: *Breath* (2009) is an anti-war film about a unit of Turkish soldiers based in the southeast. *On the Way to School* (2008) is a documentary about a young Turkish teacher who struggles to communicate with his Kurdish pupils.