**Political Economy of the Global South: Paradigms, Crises, Alternatives**

**MPhil in Politics & International Studies (2023-24, Lent Term)**

**Course Convenor:** Dr. Mehmet Erman Erol (Department of POLIS & Fitzwilliam College)

([mee38@cam.ac.uk](mailto:mee38@cam.ac.uk))

**Teaching Pattern:** Wednesday 13:00-15:00pm, ARB S2

**Course Description**

Since its emergence, the history of global capitalism is marked by its uneven character in terms of development. Some states, mainly in the ‘Global North’, were quickly industrialised and developed, while others, mainly in the ‘Global South’, struggled and remained less developed and/or are still developing. Hence, the problem of ‘catching-up’ with developed countries came to the fore as early as the 19th century. This paper focuses on this question and aims to make sense of the roots, alternative paradigms, and empirical issues of development in the Global South, from the 20th century to the contemporary global political economy.

We begin by debating colonial legacies of North-South relations, alternative definitons of development and the concept of the Global South. Then we discuss various theories of development that have shaped development paradigms in the Global South. The paper then moves on to development trajectories in the 20th century, from state-led development to neoliberalism and the crises associated with them. Following this, alternatives/challenges to neoliberalism are discussed. Finally, we examine climate change and justice from the perspective of the Global South.

**Learning Outcomes**:

By the end of the module the students will be able to:

* Critically analyse and explain the different theories of development and their strengths and weaknesses
* Demonstrate the ability to critically assess the historical trajectories of development in the Global South from 20th century to the contemporary era
* Critically assess contemporary issues pertinent to Global South such as the rise of the BRICS, South-South Cooperation, and Climate Justice.

**Course Structure**

Weekly 1,5-2 hour seminars (7 weeks). Core readings form the most important aspect of the seminars; hence students are expected to read core material and contribute actively to the discussion. Students will also do one short presentation; details of which will be discussed early in the term.

**Assessment**

3000-word essay, due end of term

**Week 1. Debating Conceptual Framework and History: Colonial Legacies, Global South, and Development**

**Core Reading**

Sumner, A. (2022). What is Development Studies? *European Association of Development Research and Training Institutes Discussion Paper.* Available at <https://www.eadi.org/fileadmin/user_upload/EADI/03_Publications/EADI_Policy_Paper/What_is_Development_Studies.pdf>

Kothari, U. (2019). From colonial administration to development studies: a post-colonial critique of the history of development studies, in U. Kothari (ed.), *A Radical History of Development Studies: Individuals, Institutions and Ideologies*, London: Zed Books, pp. 47-66.

Sud, N. and Sanchez-Ancochea, D. (2022). Southern discomfort: Interrogating the category of the Global South. *Development and Change,* 53(6), pp. 1123-1150.

Haug, S., Braveboy-Wagner, Maihold, G. (2021) The ‘Global South’ in the study of world politics: examining a meta category. *Third World Quarterly,* 42(9), pp. 1923-1944.

*Short pieces*

Dados, N. and Connell, R. (2012). The Global South, *Contexts,* 11(1), pp. 12-13

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1536504212436479>

Sajed, A. (2020). From the Third World to the Global South, E-International Relations, <https://www.e-ir.info/2020/07/27/from-the-third-world-to-the-global-south/>

Ballestrin, L. (2020). The Global South as a Political Project, E-International Relations, <https://www.e-ir.info/2020/07/03/the-global-south-as-a-political-project/>

**Further Reading**

Koram, K. (2023). *Uncommon Wealth: Britain and the Aftermath of Empire*, London: John Murray

Rist, G. (2008). *The History of Development: From Western Origins to Global Faith.* London: Zed Books (3rd Edition). Ch 4, ‘The invention of development’, pp. 69-79.

Grovogui, S. (2011). A Revolution Nonetheless: The Global South in International Relations, *The Global South,* 5(1), pp. 175-190.

Ndlovu-Gatsheni and Tafira, K. (2019). “The invention of the global South and the politics of South-South solidarity”, in E. Fiddian-Qasmiyeh and P. Daley (eds.), *Routledge Handbook of South-South Relations*, Oxon: Routledge, pp. 127-140

Bhambra, G.K. (2021). Colonial global economy: Towards a theoretical reorientation of political economy. *Review of International Political Economy.* 28(2). pp. 307-322.

Peet, R. and Hartwick, E. (2009). *Theories of Development: Contentions, Arguments, Alternatives*. New York: The Guilford Press (2nd edition). Ch.1 “Development”, pp. 1-19.

Escobar, A. (2012). *Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World.* Princeton: Princeton University Press. Ch.2

Preston, P.W. (1996). *Development Theory: An Introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell. Ch.8 “The legacies of the colonial era: structures, institutions, and images”, pp. 137-152.

Prashad, V. (2012). *The Poorer Nations: A Possible History of the Global South.* London: Verso. Introduction, pp. 1-15.

Dodds, K. (2014). “The Third World, developing countries, the South, emerging markets and rising powers”, in V. Desai & R. Potter (Eds.), *The Companion to Development Studies*, Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 10-15.

Chiriyankandath, J. (2011). “Colonialism and post-colonial development”, in P. Burnell, V. Randall, L. Rakner (eds.), *Politics in the Developing World.* New York: Oxford University Press (3rd edition). pp. 36-52.

Dirlik, A. (2007). Global South: Predicament and promise, *The Global South,* 1(1), pp. 12-23

Kiely, R. (2016). *The Rise and Fall of Emerging Powers: Globalisation, US Power and the Global North-South Divide.* Ch. 2 “The ‘rise of the south’ and International Relations and Development Theory”, pp. 9-42.

Esteva, G. (2010) What is Development? *Oxford Research Encylopedia of International Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190846626.013.360>

Williams, G., Meth, P., Willis, K. (2009). *Geographies of Developing Areas: The Global South in a Changing World*, Ch. 1 “Defining the global south: Real and Imagined dividing lines”, pp. 1-21.

Dados, N.N. (2020). “Knowledge, power and the Global South: epistemes and economies after colonialism”, in B. Dunn (ed.), *A* *Research Agenda for Critical Political Economy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 61-76.

Kvangraven, I. H. (2022). Colonial legacies and racial hierarchies in the global economy: a review article, *Race & Class*, [https://doi.org/10.1177/03063968211060325](https://doi.org/10.1177%2F03063968211060325)

Rodney, W. (2018). *How Europe Underdeveloped Africa*. London: Verso.

**Week 2. Conventional Theories of Development: Liberal political economy, modernization theory, statist political economy**

**Core Reading**

Peet, R. and Hartwick, E. (2009). *Theories of Development: Contentions, Arguments, Alternatives*. New York: The Guilford Press (2nd edition). Ch.2 “Classical and Neoclassical Economics”, pp. 23-52.

Rostow, W.W. (1960). *The Stages of Economic Growth: A Non-Communist Manifesto*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Ch.1 “Introduction”, Ch. 2. “The five stages of growth- a summary”, pp. 1-16.

Levi-Faur, D. (1997). Friedrich List and the political economy of the nation-state, *Review of International Political Economy*, 4(1), pp.154-178

Leftwich, A. (1995). Bringing politics back in: towards a model of the developmental state, *The Journal of Development Studies,* 31(3), pp. 400-427

*Short piece*

Selwyn, B. (2023) <https://jacobin.com/2023/06/walt-rostow-development-theory-capitalism-anti-communism-violence>

**Further Reading**

Helleiner, E. (2021). *The Neomercantilists: A Global Intellectual History*, New York: Cornell University Press. Introduction and Ch. 2.

Berthoud, G. (2010). “Market”. in W. Sachs (ed.), *The Development Dictionary: A Guide to Knowledge as Power.* London: Zed Books (2nd Edition), pp. 74-94.

Williams, D. (2022). Liberal approaches to governance and development. in W. Hout and J. Hutchison (eds.), *Handbook on Governance and Development*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 14-27.

Gilman, N. (2018). Modernization theory never dies, *History of Political Economy*, 50(1), 133-151.

Chang, H.J. (2003). *Kicking Away the Ladder.* London: Anthem Press. Chs 1-2.

Selwyn, B. (2014). *The Global Development Crisis*. Cambridge: Polity Press. Ch.2. “Friedrich List and the foundations of statist political economy”, pp. 29-52

Gerschenkron, A. (1962). *Economic Backwardness in Historical Perspective*. Massachusetts: Harvard University Press. Ch.1. “Economic backwardness in historical perspective”, pp. 5-30

Selwyn, B. (2011). Trotsky, Gerschenkron and the political economy of late capitalist development, *Economy and Society,* 40(3), pp. 421-450

Öniş, Z. (1991). The logic of the developmental state, *Comparative Politics*, 24(1), pp.109-126

Evans, P.B. (1995). *Embedded Autonomy: States and Industrial Transformation.* Princeton: Princeton University Press, Ch. 1.

Kohli, A. (2004). *State-directed Development: Political Power and Industrialization in the Global Periphery*, Ch.1

Woo-Cumings, M. (ed.) (1999). *The Developmental State.* Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Hayashi, S. (2010). The developmental state in the era of globalization: beyond the Northeast Asian model of political economy, *The Pacific Review,* 23(1), pp. 45-69

Bowles, P. (2018). “The developmental state and late industrialization: still feasible? And desirable?” in H. Veltmeyer & P. Bowles (eds.) *The Essential Guide to Critical Development Studies.* Oxon: Routledge. pp. 225-234.

Wade, R. (2018). The developmental state: dead or alive?, *Development and Change*, 49(2), pp. 518-546

Haggard, S. (2018). *Developmental States*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

**Week 3.** **Critical Approaches to Development & Underdevelopment: Structuralist, Marxist, Feminist Perspectives**

**Core Reading**

Kvangraven, I.H. (2023). ‘Dependency theory: strengths, weaknesses, and its relevance today’, in E.S. Reinert and I.H. Kvangraven (eds.), *A Modern Guide to Uneven Economic Development*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 147-170.

Wallerstein, I. (1974). The rise and future demise of the World Capitalist System: concepts for comparative analysis, *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 16(4), pp. 387-415

Selwyn, B. (2009). An historical materialist appraisal of Friedrich List and his modern-day followers, *New Political Economy*, 14(2), 157-180

Rai, S. (2018). “Gender and development”, in J. Elias & A. Roberts (eds.) Handbook on the International Political Economy of Gender. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. pp. 142-158

**Further Reading**

Fasenfest, D. and Das, R.J. (2021). “Constructing the conceptual tools for the Global South”, in H. Leiulfsrud and P. Sohlberg (eds.)., *Constructing Social Research Objects*, Leiden: Brill, pp. 59-83.

Frank, A.G. (1966). The development of underdevelopment, *Monthly Review*, 18(4), pp.17-31

Kiely, R. (2010). Dependency and World-Systems perspectives on development, in *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of International Studies*, <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190846626.013.142>

Babones, S. (2018). “The world-systems perspective”, in H. Veltmeyer & P. Bowles (eds.), *The Essential Guide to Critical Development Studies.* Oxon: Routledge. pp. 109-117.

Selwyn, B. (2020). “Economic growth and the ideology of development”, in B. Dunn (ed.), A *Research Agenda for Critical Political Economy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 35-46

Peet, R. and Hartwick, E. (2009). *Theories of Development: Contentions, Arguments, Alternatives*. New York: The Guilford Press (2nd edition). Ch.5 “Marxism, Socialism and Development”, pp. 143-196.

Selwyn, B. (2014). *The Global Development Crisis*, Cambridge: Polity, Ch. 3. ‘Karl Marx, class struggle and social development’, pp. 53-75

Kothari, U. (2002). “Feminist and postcolonial challenges to development”, in U. Kothari and M. Minogue (eds.), Development Theory and Practice: Critical Perspectives. Basingstoke: Palgrave, pp. 35-51

İnce, O.U. (2016). Friedrich List and the imperial origins of the national economy, *New Political Economy*, 380-400.

Kvangraven, I. H. (2020). Beyond the stereotype: restating the relevance of the dependency research programme, *Development and Change*, 52(1), 76-112.

Petras, J. (1981). Dependency and world system theory: a critique and new directions, *Latin American Perspectives*, 8(3-4), pp. 148-155

Cardoso, F.H. and Faletto, E. (1979). *Dependency and Development in Latin America*. London: University of California Press.

Amin, S. (1977). *Imperialism and Unequal Development*. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Makki, F. (2015). Reframing development theory: the significance of the idea of uneven and combined development, *Theory and Society,* 44, pp. 471-497.

Selwyn, B. (2016). Elite development theory: a labour-centred critique, *Third World Quarterly*, 37(5), pp. 781-799.

Petras, J. And Veltmeyer, H. (2018). “Imperialism, capitalism and development”, in H. Veltmeyer & P. Bowles (eds.) *The Essential Guide to Critical Development Studies.* Oxon: Routledge. pp. 128-137.

Rai, S. M. (2002). *Gender and the Political Economy of Development*. Cambridge: Polity.

Wilson, K. (2015). Towards a radical re-appropriation: gender, development and neoliberal feminism, *Development and Change*, 46(4), pp. 803-832.

Peet, R. and Hartwick, E. (2009). *Theories of Development: Contentions, Arguments, Alternatives*. New York: The Guilford Press (2nd edition). Chs.6-7

Song, H.Y. (2013). Marxist critiques of the developmental state and the fetishism of national development, *Antipode*, 45(5), pp. 1254-1276.

**Week 4**. **State-led Development in the Global South in the 20th Century**

**Core Reading**

Waterbury, J. (1999). The long gestation and brief triumph of Import-Substituting Industrialization, *World Development*, 27(2), pp. 323-341.

Jenkins, R. (1991). The political economy of industrialization: a comparison of Latin American and East Asian newly industrializing countries, *Development and Change,* 22, pp. 197-231.

Cammett, M. et.al. (2015). *A Political Economy of the Middle East*. New York: Routledge. Ch. 7 “The rise and fall of state-led development”, pp. 233-269.

Chitonge, H. and Lawrence, P. (2020). “The political economy of industrialization and industrial policy in Africa, 1960-2018”, in A. Oqubay, C. Cramer, H.J. Chang, R. Kozul-Wright (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Industrial Policy*, DOI:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198862420.013.33

**Further Reading**

Baer, W. (1972). Import substitution and industrialization in Latin America: experiences and interpretations, *Latin American Research Review*, 7(1), pp. 95-122.

Kingstone, P. (2018). *The Political Economy of Latin America: Reflections on Neoliberalism and Development after the Commodity Boom*. New York: Routledge (2nd edition). Ch. 2. “Import substitution industrialization and the great transformation in Latin America”, pp. 28-54

Bayar, A.H. (1996). The developmental state and economic policy in Turkey, *Third World Quarterly*, 17(4), pp.773-785.

Bulmer-Thomas, V. (2003). The Economic History of Latin America since Independence. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2nd edition). Ch.9.

Mendes, A.P.F., Bertella, M., Teixeira, R. (2014). Industrialization in Sub-saharan Africa and import substitution policy, *Brazilian Journal of Political Economy*, 34(1), pp. 120-138

Akyuz, Y., Chang, H.J., and Kozul-Wright, R. (1998) New perspectives on East Asian

Development, *Journal of Development Studies*, 34(6), pp 4-35.

Akcay, U. And Turel, O. (2022). Import-substituting industrialization strategy and planning experience in Turkey, 1960-1980. In E. Ozcelik and Y. Ozdemir (eds.), *Political Economy of Development in Turkey: 1838-Present*, Singapore: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 163-195.

Milor, V. (1990). The genesis of planning in Turkey, *New Perspectives on Turkey*, 4, Fall 1990, pp.1-30

Chibber, V. (2014). The developmental state in retrospect and prospect: lessons from India and South Korea. In M. Williams (ed.), *The End of the Developmental State?*, New York: Routledge, pp. 30-54.

Fishwick, A. (2018). Labour control and developmental state theory: a new perspective on import-substitution industrialization in Latin America, *Development and Change*, 50(3), pp. 655-678.

Kohli, A. (2004). *State-directed Development: Political Power and Industrialization in the Global Periphery.*

Leftwich, Adrian (2000) *States of Development.* Cambridge: Polity Press.

**Week 5. Neoliberal Development Strategies in the Global South: Policies and Crises**

**Core Reading**

Connell, R. And Dados, N. (2014). Where in the world does neoliberalism come from? The market agenda in southern perspective. *Theory and Society*, 43(2), pp.117-138

Babb, S. (2013) The Washington consensus as transnational policy paradigm: its origins,

trajectory and likely successor, *Review of International Political Economy*, 20(2), pp. 268-297

Onis, Z. And Aysan, A.F. (2000). Neoliberal globalisation, the nation-state and financial crises in the semi-periphery: a comparative analysis , *Third World Quarterly*, 21(1), pp.119-139.

Jayasuriya, K. and Rosser, A. (2001). Economic orthodoxy and the East Asian crisis, *Third World Quarterly*, 22(3), pp.381-396.

*Regional Perspectives*

Bond, P. (2005) “Neoliberalism in Sub-saharan Africa: from structural adjustment to NEPAD”, in A. Saad-Filho and D. Johnston (eds.), *Neoliberalism: A Critical Reader*. London: Pluto Press, pp. 230-236.

Saad-Filho, A. (2005). “Political Economy of Neoliberalism in Latin America”, in A. Saad-Filho and D. Johnston (eds.), *Neoliberalism: A Critical Reader*. London: Pluto Press, pp. 222-229

Hanieh, A. (2015). “Mapping the political economy of neoliberalism in the Arab world”, in U. Mattei and J.H. Haskell (eds.) *Research Handbook on Political Economy and Law*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. pp. 280-297.

**Further Reading**

Van Waeyenberge, E. (2022) “The post-Washington consensus”, in H. Veltmeyer and P. Bowles (eds.), *The Essential Guide to Critical Development Studies* (2nd edition), Oxon: Routledge, pp. 113-120

Fine, B. and Saad-Filho, A. (2014). “Politics of neoliberal development: Washington Consensus and the post-Washington Consensus, in H. Weber (ed.), *The Politics of Development: A Survey*. London: Routledge, pp. 154-166.

Slobodian, Q. (2018). *Globalists: The End of Empire and the Birth of Neoliberalism.* Cambridge: Harvard University Press. Ch. 7. “A world of signals”, pp. 218-262.

Radice, H. (2008). The developmental state under global neoliberalism. *Third World Quarterly*, 29(6), pp. 1153-1174

Onis, Z. and Senses, F. (2005). Rethinking the emerging post-washington consensus, *Development and Change*, 36(2), pp.263-290

Chang, H.J. (2000). The hazard of moral hazard: untangling the Asian crisis. *World Development*, 28(4), pp. 775-778

Önis, Z. (2006). Varieties and crises of neoliberal globalisation: Argentina, Turkey and the IMF. *Third World Quarterly*, 27(2), pp. 239-263.

Rodriguez, J.P. (2021). The politics of neoliberalism in Latin America: dynamics of resilience and contestation. *Sociology Compass*, 15(3), [**https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12854**](https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12854)

Wade, R. (1998) The East Asian Debt and Development Crisis of 1997-?: Causes and Consequences, *World Development*, Vol. 26, No.8, 1998, pp.1535-1553

Gore, C. (2000). The rise and fall of the Washington Consensus as a paradigm for developing countries, *World Development,* 28(5), pp.789-804.

Kentikelenis, A., Stubbs, T., King, L. (2016). IMF conditionality and development policy space, 1985-2014, *Review of International Political Economy,* 23(4), pp.543-582.

Koelble, Thomas A. (2004) Economic Policy in the post-colony: South Africa between

Keynesian remedies and Neoliberal Pain, *New Political Economy* 9(1) pp 57-78

Harvey, D. (2005). *A Brief history of Neoliberalism*. New York: Oxford University Press.

**Week 6. Alternatives to Neoliberalism? - BRICS - South-South Cooperation**

**Core Reading**

Önis, Z. and Kutlay, M. (2020) The new age of hybridity and clash of norms: China, BRICS,

and challenges in a postliberal international order, *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 45(3), pp.123-142.

Nem Singh, J. (2022) The renaissance of the developmental state in the age of post-neoliberalism, in W. Hout and J. Hutchison (eds.), *Handbook on Governance and Development*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 97-114.

Gray, K. and Gills, B.K. (2016). South-South cooperation and the rise of the Global South, *Third World Quarterly,* 36(4), pp. 57-74.

Sankey, K. and Munck, R. (2016). Rethinking development in Latin America: the search for alternative paths in the twenty-first century, *Journal of Developing Societies*, 32(4), pp. 334-361

**Further Reading**

Wade, R. (2022). Leading states in the periphery of the world economy challenge core states: impact of the unlikely BRICS coalition, *Global Policy*, June 2022, pp. 10-23.

Roberts, C., Armijo, L., and Katada, S. (2017). *The BRICS and Collective Financial Statecraft*, Oxford University Press, Ch.3 BRICS Collective Financial Statecraft: Four Cases, pp. 67-108.

Batista Nogueira Jr., P. (2021). *The BRICS and the Financing Mechanisms They Created: Progress and Shortcomings*, London. Anthem Press.

Saad-Filho, A. (2020). *Growth and Change in Neoliberal Capitalism: Essays on the Political Economy of Late Development*. Ch. 3, ‘The ‘rise of the South’ and the troubles of global convergence’. Leiden: Brill.

Cherry, J. (2020) Challenging the hegemony of the Washington Consensus: the development potential of BRICS ‘from below’, in V. Jakupec, M. Kelly, J. Makuwira (eds.) *Rethinking Multilateralism in Foreign Aid: Beyond the Neoliberal Hegemony*, London: Routledge, pp. 178-194

Muhr, T. (2022). Reclaiming the politics of South-South cooperation, *Globalizations*, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14747731.2022.2082132>

Nayyar, D. (2016) BRICS, developing countries and global governance, *Globalizations*, 37(4), pp.575-591

Schindler, S., Jepson, N., Cui, W. (2020). Covid-19, China and the Future of Global Development. *Research in Globalization*. Volume 2.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resglo.2020.100020>

Alami, I., Dixon, A., Mawdsley, E. (2021). State capitalism and the new global D/development regime, *Antipode*, 53(5), pp.1294-1318

Gordon, R.E. (2022). *Development Disrupted: The Global South in the Twenty-First Century*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Chs. 3-4.

Bond, P. (2020) BRICS banking and the demise of alternatives to the IMF and World Bank, in J.A. Puppim de Oliverira and Y. Jing (eds.) *International Development Assistance and the BRICS*, Singapore: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 189-218.

Gürcan, E. C. (2019). *Multipolatization, South-South Cooperation, and the Rise of Post-Hegemonic Governance*, Oxon: Routledge, Ch.3 “South-South cooperation and the BRICS: towards a post-hegemonic global governance?”, pp. 37-68

Alami, I. (2018) Money power of capital and production of ‘new state spaces’: a view from the Global South, *New Political Economy*, 23(4), pp.612-629

Chodor, T. (2015). *Neoliberal Hegemony and the Pink Tide in Latin America: Breaking up with TINA?*, Basingstoke: Palgrave.

DeHart, M. (2012). Remodelling the global development landscape: The China model and South-South Cooperation in Latin America, *Third World Quarterly,* 33(7), pp.1359-1375.

Cooper, A. (2021). China, India and the pattern of G20/BRICS engagement: Differentiated ambivalence between ‘rising’ power status and solidarity with the Global South, *Third World Quarterly,* 42(9), pp.1945-1962.

Morvaridi, B. And Hughes, C. (2018). South-South cooperation and neoliberal hegemony in a post-aid world, *Development and Change*, 49(3), pp. 867-892.

Nilsen, A.G. and von Holdt, K. (2019). Rising powers, people rising: neo-liberalization and its discontents in the BRICS countries, *Globalizations*, 16(2), pp. 121-136.

Bond, P. And Garcia, A. (Eds.) (2015). *BRICS: An Anti-Capitalist Critique*, London: Pluto.

Kingstone, P. (2018). *The Political Economy of Latin America: Reflections on Neoliberalism and Development after the Commodity Boom*. New York: Routledge (2nd edition). Ch. 4 “Democracy, development and the Pink Tide”, pp. 102-137.

Jepson, N. (2020). *In China’s Wake: How the Commodity Boom Transformed Development Strategies in the Global South*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Gu, J., Shankland, A., Chenoy, A. (Eds.) (2016). *The BRICS in International Development*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.

Stephen, M.D. (2014). Rising powers, global capitalism and liberal global governance: a historical materialist account of the BRICs challenge, *European Journal of International Relations*, 20(4), pp. 912-938.

Golub, P.S. (2013). From the New International Economic Order to the G20: how the ‘global south’ is restructuring world capitalism from within. *Third World Quarterly*, 34(6), pp. 1000-1015.

Liu, H. (2022). China engages the Global South: From Bandung to the Belt and Road Initiative. *Global Policy*, 13(1), pp. 11-22.

Mawdsley, E. (2019). South-South Cooperation 3.0? Managing the consequences of success in the decade ahead. *Oxford Development Studies*, 47(3), pp. 259-274.

Murphy, D.C. (2022). *China’s Rise in the Global South: The Middle East, Africa, and Beijing’s Alternative World Order*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Kiely, R. (2015). *The BRICs, US ‘Decline’ and Global Transformations*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.

**Week 7**. **Climate Change and the Global South: Unevenness, Injustices, Alternatives**

**Core Reading**

Ajl, M. (2021). A People’s Green New Deal: obstacles and prospects, *Agrarian South: Journal of Political Economy* [https://doi.org/10.1177/22779760211030864](https://doi.org/10.1177%2F22779760211030864)

Gabor, D. (2021) The Wall Street consensus, *Development and Change*,

<https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12645>

Givens, J.E., Huang, X. and Jorgenson, A.K. (2019). Ecologically unequal exchange: a theory of global environmental injustice. *Sociology Compass*, 13(5) [**https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12693**](https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12693)

Weber, E.H. and Kopf, A. (2019) “South-South approaches to international environmental negotiations: the case of climate change”, in E. Fiddian-Qasmiyeh and P. Daley (eds.), *Routledge Handbook of South-South Relations*, Oxon: Routledge, pp. 205-214

**Further Reading**

Bhambra, G. and Newell, P. (2022). More than a metaphor: ‘Climate Colonialism’ in perspective. *Global Social Challenges Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1332/EIEM6688>

Bond, P. (2019). “Degrowth, devaluation, and uneven development from North to South”, in E. Chertkovskaya, A. Paulsson and S. Barca (eds.), *Towards a Political Economy of Degrowth*, London: Rowman & Littlefield, pp. 137-156.

Alvarez, L. and Coolsaet, B. (2020) Decolonizing environmental justice studies: A Latin American perspective, *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, 31(2), pp. 50-69

Dengler, C. and Seebacher, L.M. (2019). What about the Global South? Towards a feminist decolonial degrowth approach. *Ecological Economics*, 157, pp. 246-252.
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