"I hold that human collectivities are able to learn, to change their institutions and practices to make things better for themselves. And I hold that this learning occurs by humankind’s thinking analytically and searching for causal patterns, modes of thinking associated with the Enlightenment."
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Course Aims  

POL 3 (International Organization) aims to expand students’ knowledge and understanding of the central themes of cooperation and conflict in the global system introduced in POL 2. It does so by focusing on institutionalised cooperation among global actors, on the many forms such cooperation takes, and on the conditions under which stable cooperation is most likely to emerge.

The course has the following objectives:

- to develop students’ understanding of the main theoretical approaches to the study of institutional cooperation and conflict in the international system.
- to develop students’ understanding of the various levels at which international politics can be analysed.
- to provide students with a basic grasp of the core purposes and functions of major global governance institutions (such as the United Nations, WTO and World Bank Group, and various non-state actors, such as NGOs and private regulatory organizations) — from both a theoretical and empirical perspective.
Course Description

Why do international institutions and international organizations (IOs) exist? And what specific role(s) do they play in solving global problems? To examine these questions, the course will study the role of international institutions in both a historical and contemporary context. Whilst cooperation through international governmental organizations is mainly a phenomenon of the 20th and 21st centuries, institutionalised cooperation among states has a much longer historical trajectory. The course will consider the historical development and contemporary functioning of major international institutions and IOs with the purposes of (a) developing students’ understanding of how the current system of global governance have evolved, and (b) encouraging students to consider how, and to what extent, current patterns of international cooperation differ from previous historical periods.

At the theoretical level, the course will introduce students to competing perspectives on IOs, both as practical instruments of states, but also as autonomous bureaucratic actors, which—once created by states—acquire interests and agency of their own.

At the empirical level, the course will explore how cooperation problems in areas of international security, human rights, trade and finance are addressed by states, IOs and other international actors, including (I)NGOs and civil society organizations.

Course Structure

The paper is divided into three parts. Lectures and supervisions in Part I survey major theoretical and conceptual debates in the field of international organization. This part begins by examining the demand for institutionalized cooperation in the global system and proceeds to analyze how cooperation is possible under anarchy. Next, it introduces the major theoretical approaches to the study of international institutions.

Part II focuses on historical and contemporary practices of institutionalised cooperation among states and non-state actors in different areas of global politics; from international security and arms control, to human rights, trade, and financial regulation. This part explores in further depth the theoretical and conceptual issues introduced in Part I—drawing on both historical and contemporary cases.

Part III consists of three thematic ‘streams’ or ‘tracks’ that allow students to explore specific aspects of contemporary international governance in greater depth. Each stream consists of five to six (5-6) lectures and two (2) small-group seminars. One stream, taught by Prof. Marc Weller will focus on the International Administration of Peace and Security, a second stream, taught by Dr Bernhard Reinsberg, will focus on the international political economy of development, while the third stream, led by Ian Shields, will consider the role of non-state actors in global politics. Students will choose ONE stream. A brief description of each stream along with a list of lecture topics and readings can be found at the end of this course guide.

Learning Aims

POL 3 aims to help students develop a theoretical as well as practical understanding of the major institutions that govern contemporary global affairs, of the nature of the cooperation problems these institutions strive to solve, and the primary mechanisms through which they do so. After completing the course, students should be able to articulate the leading theoretical explanations within the field of international relations for why international institutions and organizations exist, how they operate, and how they influence the behaviour of both states and non-state actors. Come exam time, students should be able to apply a range of theoretical arguments to analyse both historical and contemporary cases of international cooperation, and
to present empirical data (both qualitative and quantitative) that can be used to arbitrate between competing theoretical viewpoints.

Teaching and Assessment

Students will attend a total of 25 or 26 lectures (depending on which track they choose) + one (1) revision lecture for the course as a whole. In addition, they will have two (2) group seminars, and four (4) supervisions (plus one revision supervision) over the course of the academic year.

Supervisions are designed to allow in-depth discussion of the major themes and topics introduced in lectures in a small group setting. Students will submit a 2000-3000 words essay in advance of each supervision. A list of sample supervision questions can be found (together a list of past exam questions) at the end of this course guide. Specific questions for each supervision will be announced during Michaelmas and Lent terms.

The seminars will support the specialized ‘tracks’ and are designed to help students grasp and reflect upon the broader themes introduced in the lectures and assigned readings for each track and relate those to the knowledge they have acquired in the core part of the course (lectures 1-20). This will in turn help prepare students for the final exam, which will feature questions that invite students to draw on knowledge gained throughout the course as a whole (as opposed to focusing on distinct weekly topics). Seminars will be taught primarily through structured debate and discussion. There is no essay requirement for the seminars, but students may be asked to prepare ahead of seminars to discuss specific themes or questions.

Preparation for Lectures and Seminars

In order to get the most out of the course, students are strongly encouraged to come fully prepared to lectures, having completed the required readings for each lecture. A list of supplementary readings is provided for each lecture topic to enable students to broaden and deepen their knowledge of individual topics.

Assessment

Assessment will be by written examination in Easter Term. Students will be given three (3) hours to answer two (2) questions. Each question will be broad in scope and will be designed to encourage students to draw on and combine their knowledge of several lecture topics. A list of exam questions from the 2016/2017 exam is supplied at the end of this course guide.

Readings

Below you fill find a list of preparatory (summer) readings, grouped into two categories. The first category contains a short list of classic texts which can be considered foundational in the field of IO. Many later works build on and reference these classic texts, and it will therefore be a good idea for you to familiarise yourself with these over summer as this will help you build a base of knowledge that will enable you absorb the weekly readings more effectively.

The second category includes a short list of general textbooks on International Organization that you may wish to consult to gain a basic understanding of what types of IOs are out there and how they operate. You may want to choose ONE of these textbooks as a general practical guide to the world of international organizations.

The weekly readings consist predominantly of journal articles and book chapters, which will generally be available electronically from the University Library’s e-journal resources or e-books provisions.
BACKGROUND TEXTS / SUMMER READING


II. RECOMMENDED TEXTBOOKS


1. International Organization before International Organizations? (JCS)

Thursday 5 October 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2


Further Reading


2. From Great Depression to the Post-War Settlement (JCS)

Tuesday 10 October 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 6


Further Reading


3. Achieving Cooperation Under Anarchy: The Role of Institutions (MES)

*Thursday 12 October 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2*


Mearsheimer, John (1994/1995) "The False Promise of International Institutions," *International Security* 19 (3): 5-49 (*you should have read this in POL 2, so you may want to skim your notes*).


**Further Reading**


4. Achieving Cooperation Under Anarchy: The Role of Power (or ‘Power and Order in International Organization)\(^{(MES)}\)

Tuesday 17 October 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 6


**Further Reading**


5. Rational Institutionalism \(^{(MES)}\)

Thursday 19 October 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2


Further Reading


Further Reading


---

6. Whose Global Economy? (JCS)

*Thursday 26 October 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2*

---

7. Cognitive and Bureaucratic Perspectives on International Institutions (MES)

*Friday 27 October 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2*


**Further Reading**


**8. Making Poverty History? Development and Foreign Aid** (JCS)

Tuesday 31 October 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 6


**Further Reading**


9. From Crisis to Crisis: Regulating Global Finance  (JCS)

*Thursday 2 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2*


Further Reading:


*Tuesday 7 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 6*

Claude, Inis (1966) “Collective Legitimization as a Political Function of the UN,” *International


Further Reading


Thursday 9 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2


Further Reading


- Is Arms Racing Always Bad?


- Sources of Proliferation/Restraint


- More on Weapons Taboos


- Insider Views


*For a basic introductory overview of the global non-proliferation regime, see*


12. The Politics of International Peace Keeping (MES)

*Tuesday 14 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 6*


**Further Reading**


13. **Outside In: International Sources of Domestic Law (ICS)**

*Thursday 16 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2*


**Further Reading**


**14. Private Ordering of the Global Economy** (JCS)

*Tuesday 21 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 6*


**Further Readings**


**15. Hard and Soft International Law** (JCS)

*Thursday 23 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 2*


**Further Reading**


### 16. Policing the Globe (JCS)

**Tuesday 28 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 6**


**Further Reading**


**17. Expanding International Governance: The Roles of NGOs and Civil Society Actors** (MES)

*Thursday 30 November 2017, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Room 3*

Keck, Margaret and Kathryn Sikkink (1998) *Activists Beyond Borders: Advocacy Networks in International Politics* (Cornell University Press), Chapters 1 and 3.


**Further Reading**


**Lent Term**

**18. Why Do States Sign Human Rights Treaties?** (MES)

*Thursday 18 January 2018, Mill Lane Lectures Theatre, Lecture Room 4*


Further Reading


19. Do Human Rights Treaties Make a Difference to Human Rights? (MES)

Tuesday 23 January 2018, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block, Lecture Room 3


Further Reading

- Some key readings on Compliance


- Human Rights Compliance


*Thursday 25 January 2018, Mill Lane Lectures Theatre, Lecture Room 1*


**Further Reading**


** There follows a separate lecture/reading list for each of the three specialized tracks, which will comprise lectures 21-26. Each track will also feature two seminars. Students can ONLY follow seminars for ONE track, but are welcome to attend lectures for several tracks provided these do not overlap time-wise **
Track 1
The International Administration of Peace and Security
Taught by: Prof. Marc Weller

Brief Description
This module investigates the rules and mechanisms that seek to constrain the use of force in international relations. The module considers the development of international organization in relation to peace and security, including the League of Nations system and the United Nations Organization. It then considers the present system of collective security mainly administered through the UN Security Council. In addition, the module addresses claim to the unilateral use of force, including self-defence, forcible humanitarian action and intervention to preserve or advance democracy.

The module consists of five lectures and two accompanying seminar sessions. Candidates will be encouraged to read one introductory textbook covering this area (Gray) and will receive additional materials electronically.

General Background Reading


Lecture I: International Law and Peace (MW)
Thursday, 01st February, 10:00 – 11:00, Finlay Library, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, 5 Cranmer Road


Seminar 1: The invasion of Kuwait by Iraq, and the invasion of Iraq by the US/UK
GR1: Friday, 02nd February, 12:00 – 13:30, ARB Room 138
GR5: Monday, 05th February, 16:00 – 17:30, Old Library, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, 5 Cranmer Road

Lecture 2: Collective Security (MW)
Tuesday, 06th February, 11:00 – 12:00, Finlay Library, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, 5 Cranmer Road


**Lecture 3: Self-defence (MW)**

*Thursday, 08th February, 10:00 – 11:00, Finlay Library, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, 5 Cranmer Road*


**Lecture 4: Forcible Humanitarian Action (MW)**

*Tuesday, 13th February, 11:00 – 12:00, Finlay Library, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, 5 Cranmer Road*


**Lecture 5: Pro-democratic Action (MW)**

*Thursday, 15th February, 10:00 – 11:00, Finlay Library, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, 5 Cranmer Road*


**Seminar 2: Kosovo (MW)**

*GR1: Monday, 26th February, 16:00 – 17:30, Old Library, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, 5 Cranmer Road*

*GR5: Wednesday, 28th February, 16:00 – 17:30, Old Library, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, 5 Cranmer Road*
Seminars

Track 2
Development Cooperation through multilateral organizations
An (international) political economy perspective
Taught by: Dr Bernhard Reinsberg

Brief description
This track introduces students to the global governance of development. It addresses the following core questions: Why do states delegate development assistance to international organizations? How do donors choose among different multilateral development organizations (MDOs)? What are the determinants of multilateral development policies? How effective are they? And is there a link between MDO effectiveness and the nature of the MDO policy-making process?

The various sessions of this track are tied together by the common assumption that development is a series of collective action problems along the delegation chain from donor countries, international organizations, and recipient countries. Activities of all these actors will be scrutinized. While the readings have a primarily empirical focus, we will relate their findings to broader theoretical arguments from international cooperation theory as discussed throughout the POL3 course. The timetable features five lectures, with two intermittent seminar sessions. The seminars allow for in-depth discussions of some (mostly recent) methodologically advanced articles of the field and to reflect on their broader theoretical implications for international cooperation.

Learning objectives
 Mention rationales for delegation to MDOs and explain the potential problems involved
 Compare the empirical evidence on delegation to MDOs with the predictions form theories of international cooperation
 Systematize the determinants of MDO policies and compare their relative importance
 Explain how the effectiveness of MDOs can be evaluated and the key challenges involved
 Mention key trends in the international development architecture and compare to related trends in trade and finance

General Background Reading
This track assumes students are familiar with the basics of foreign aid. Hence, it is highly recommended that students complete at least one of the following introductory readings before the first session:

Lecture 1. Why Delegate to Multilateral Development Organizations (MDOs)? (BR)

*Thursday 1 February 2018, 10:00 – 11:00, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Room 7*


Further Reading


SEMINAR 1. Re-visiting Theories of Intergovernmental Cooperation: the case of multilateral development cooperation (BR)

*Group 2: Friday 2 February 2018, 12.00-13.30pm, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block Room 7*

*Group 4: Tuesday 6 February 2018, 16.00-17:30, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block Room 7*


Further Reading


Lecture 2. How do Donors Choose Among Different IOs? (BR)

*Tuesday 6 February 2018, 11:00 – 12:00, Mill Lane Lectures Theatre, Lecture Room 6*


**Further Reading**


**Lecture 3. The Determinants of Multilateral Development Policies: the intergovernmental perspective** (BR)

*Thursday 8 February 2018, 10:00 – 11:00, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Room 2*


**Further Reading**


**Lecture 4. The Determinants of Multilateral Development Policies: the bureaucratic politics perspective** (BR)

*Tuesday 13 February 2018, 11:00 – 12:00, Mill Lane Lectures Theatre, Lecture Room 6*


Further Reading


Lecture 5. The Global Governance of International Development in a Comparative Perspective (BR)

*Thursday 15 February 2018, 10:00 – 11:00, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Room 7*


Further Reading

Reinsberg, B. (2017). The design of formal intergovernmental institutions: toward an ever more fragmented multilateral development system?. University of Cambridge (mimeo).


SEMINAR 2: Assessing the Effectiveness of Multilateral Development Activities (BR)

*Group 2: Monday 26th February 2018, 16:00-17:30, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block Room 10*

*Groups 4: Tuesday 27 February 2018, 16:00-17:30, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Block Room 12*


Further Reading


*Further readings (focusing on recipient country behavior)*


---

Track 3

**The NGO Sector Within Global Governance**

Taught by: Mr Ian Shields

**Brief Description**

Within the international order, non-state actors—including non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and other private charity organizations—are playing an increasingly important role. These organizations are subject to far less regulation than state actors, but in many ways act in a state-like manner: they form alliances but are in competition with each other; they represent interest groups (as, arguably, do states) but seek to remain largely separate from state control; they can act alongside the United Nations, but are not permanently represented in either the General Assembly or the Security Council.

This series of 6 lectures and 2 seminars will explore the NGO sector and examine how it fits into the state-centric international order. After an introductory lecture exploring how NGOs have become such major players on the international stage, the lectures will then consider whether NGOs are more effective than states (by examining the issue of Human Rights), or less effective (Development). Whether NGOs can build effective peace will be considered next, followed by a case study of NGOs in Africa before concluding with a broad review of whether NGOs are undermining or reinforcing issues of global governance. The two allied seminars will ask
whether NGOs are intrinsically Western and whether NGOs bring more befit or harm to the international order.

Learning objectives
- Identify the role that NGOs play within the International Order
- Explain the effectiveness of NGOs in certain areas and their limitations in others
- Compare the role of NGOs in rebuilding individual States with the efforts of bodies more officially representative of International Organizations, and identify the advantages and disadvantages of both approaches
- Identify the extent to which the NGO sector represents a new direction for the International Order itself.
- Explain why tensions exist within the broader NGO sector, and how this might limit their broader effectiveness.

This track assumes students are familiar with the basic of the NGO sector. Recommended introductory readings on the NGO sector are:

Lecture 1. Setting the Scene: The Rise (and Rise) of the NGO (IS)
*Thursday 1 February 2018, 10:00 – 11:00, Sidgwick Site Lecture Block, Room 8*


Further Reading


*Tuesday 6 February 2018, 11:00 – 12:00, Mill Lane Lectures Theatre, Lecture Room 7*


**Further Reading**


*The website for Amnesty International* (https://www.amnesty.org.uk) *is also well-worth reading for up to date issues surrounding Human Rights from an NGOP perspective.*

**SEMINAR 1. Are NGOs Intrinsically Western? (IS)**

Group 6: Monday 5 February 2018, 16:00-17:30, Sidgwick Site, Lecture Room 8

Group 3: Tuesday 6 February 2018, 16:00-17:30, Alison Richard Building, Room 119


**Further Reading**


**Lecture 3. A Less Effective System: NGOs and Development? (IS)**

Thursday 8 February 2018, 10:00 – 11:00, Sidgwick Site Lecture Block, Room 8


**Further Reading**

Gemmill, B., & Bamidele-Izu, A., *The Role of NGOs and Civil Society in Global Environmental Governance*  
http://environment.yale.edu/publication-series/782.html

Literacy Watch: *The Role of NGO’s in Education Development*  
http://www.aiaer.net/ejournal/vol24212/P2.pdf

**Lecture 4. Can NGOs Build Peace Better? (IS)**  
*Tuesday 13 February 2018, 11:00 – 12:00, Mill Lane Lectures Theatre, Lecture Room 7*

Peinado, M. P., *The Role of NGOs and the Civil Society in Peace and Reconciliation Processes*  

Committee for Conflict Transformation Support, *The Role of NGOs, Local and International, in Post-war Peacebuilding*  

**Lecture 5. Case Study: NGOs and Africa (IS)**  
*Thursday 15 February 2018, 10:00 – 11:00, Sidgwick Site Lecture Block, Room 8*

Abdulrahman, I., & Tar, U.A., *Conflict Management and Peacebuilding in Africa: The Role of State and Non-State Agencies*  
http://archive.londonmet.ac.uk/dass-research/metranet.londonmet.ac.uk/library/z17794_3.pdf

Uzuegbunam, A.O., *NGOs, Conflict and Peacebuilding in Nigeria*  

**Further Reading**


The Conversation: *The Role Of NGOs In Africa: Are They A Force For Good?*  
http://theconversation.com/the-role-of-ngos-in-africa-are-they-a-force-for-good-76227

**Lecture 6. The NGO Sector: Undermining or Reinforcing Global Governance? (IS)**  
*Tuesday 20 February 2018, 11:00 – 12:00, Mill Lane Lectures Theatre, Lecture Room 5*


**Further Reading**


**SEMINAR 2. Do NGOs Bring More Benefit or Harm to the International Order?** (IS)

*Group 3: Tuesday 27 February 2018, 16:00-17:30, Alison Richard Building, Room 119*

*Group 6: Wednesday 28 February 2018, 16:00-17:30, Sidgwick Site Lecture Room 6*


**Supervision Essay Questions,**

1. To what extent are international organizations the answer in preventing or responding to crises like the Great Depression of the 1930s or the financial crisis from 2007?

2. How does the assumption of anarchy affect the prospect of international cooperation? Compare and contrast how Realists, Liberals and Social Constructivists theorise anarchy and its consequences.

3. Select and compare two of the main theoretical approaches discussed in the lectures and readings for this course. According to these approaches, what are the main obstacles to international cooperation?

4. Barnett and Duvall argue that, "Since E.H. Carr, Realists have tended to treat power as the ability of one state to use material resources to get another state to do what it
otherwise would not do. The tendency of the discipline to gravitate toward realism's view of power leads, ironically, to the underestimation of the importance of power in international politics. Evaluate this argument by discussing how other forms of power influence international politics.

5. How important is US leadership for global governance? Is the US still capable of playing the role of hegemon?

6. Would the international trading system be more or less fair if the current system of global trade governance were abolished?

7. Can international organizations defend and advance the interests of the poor, weak and marginalized? As a general rule, do they?

8. How can governmental and non-governmental international organizations become autonomous from states? Is such autonomy good or bad?

9. Why do states seek UN Security Council approval for military intervention? What is the source(s) of the Council’s ability to bestow legitimacy upon interventions?

10. Has the United Nations since 1945 instituted a successful system of Collective Security?

11. Has the nuclear non-proliferation regime instituted by the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) been a success in terms of preventing proliferation?

12. What are the main challenges facing the global non-proliferation regime today? How can these best be addressed?

13. Does the rise of cross-border crime represent an example of the declining power of states?

14. To what extent can firms and NGOs substitute for states in addressing global problems?

15. What best explains the decision of autocratic states with poor human rights records to accede to human rights treaties?

16. Do human rights treaties make a difference to respect for human rights globally? How can be best observe/measure the impact of human rights treaties?

17. Do international rules and international organization undermine democracy within states?

18. Is popular legitimacy important for international organizations? If so, why? If not, why not?

19. Is international law a restraint on power politics or a creature of it?
FINAL EXAM FOR ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017

POL 3: International Organization

Exam Questions – Spring 2017

PLEASE ANSWER ANY TWO (2!) OF THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

1. A fundamental premise of International Relations theory is that anarchy means that international politics is lawless whereas domestic politics is lawful. To what degree has global governance by state and non-state actors rendered this distinction obsolete?

2. How might international organisations escape the control of the states that create and fund them? Illustrate your discussion with reference to AT LEAST ONE particular international organization or area of international cooperation.

3. ‘Differing rates of compliance with international agreements are best understood as a function of variation in states’ capacity to comply.’ Discuss!

4. How can we best observe and measure the impact of international human rights treaties? Are international human rights instruments generally effective in changing state behavior?

5. Mainstream rationalist theories of cooperation generally assume that because participation in international agreements is voluntary, these agreements must therefore enhance the welfare of all participating states. Does this assumption stand up to scrutiny? Illustrate your answer with reference to AT LEAST ONE particular international agreement or area of international cooperation.

6. ‘It is inherently more difficult to demonstrate the influence of normative and ideational structures on international policy outcomes than it is to demonstrate the impact of material factors’. Discuss with reference to AT LEAST ONE particular area of international cooperation.

7. ‘Obstacles to effective international cooperation are generally greater in areas of security and defense than in areas of social and economic cooperation.’ Discuss!

8. Scholars disagree widely about the importance of reputation in shaping international cooperation. Discuss some areas in which reputation may (or may not) play a decisive role for cooperation.

9. To what extent are international organisations antithetical to or a continuation of imperialism? Illustrate your discussion with reference to AT LEAST ONE particular international organization or area of international cooperation (past or present).

10. Comparing the response to the financial crisis of 2008 and the Great Depression, how much progress has been made in solving global economic collective action problems?
11. If international tribunals to punish human rights crimes need to be seen as impartial to have authority, but are inevitably shaped by political concerns, does this mean that they are always doomed to failure?

12. Using one of the main theoretical traditions introduced in the course, forecast whether rising non-Western powers will either conform to or transform the existing system of global governance.